

THUJA

-13B

ARTHUR STUPKA

TECHNICAL BULLETIN No. 251

SEPTEMBER, 1931

SOUTHERN WHITE CEDAR

BY

C. F. KORSTIAN

*Formerly Senior Silviculturist, Appalachian Forest
Experiment Station*

and

W. D. BRUSH

*Scientific Assistant, Branch of Research
Forest Service*



LIBRARY
GREAT SMOKY MOUNTAINS
NATIONAL PARK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WASHINGTON, D. C.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

SOUTHERN WHITE CEDAR

By C. F. KORSTIAN, formerly *Senior Silviculturist, Appalachian Forest Experiment Station*, and W. D. BRUSH, *Scientific Assistant, Branch of Research, Forest Service*

CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Characteristics, growth, and management of the forest.....	1	Characteristics, growth, and management of the forest—Continued.....	
Distribution and occurrence.....	1	Management of southern white cedar.....	26
The tree.....	5	Land utilization.....	26
Form and development.....	5	Treatment of stands.....	26
Leaves.....	6	Best time to harvest the timber crop.....	32
Flowers.....	7	Financial returns.....	33
Cones.....	8	Economic importance, physical characteristics, and utilization of the wood.....	36
Seeds.....	9	Economic importance.....	36
Roots.....	9	Physical characteristics.....	39
Bark.....	9	Structure.....	38
Growth requirements.....	9	Physical and mechanical properties.....	42
Climate.....	9	Durability.....	43
Soil and soil moisture.....	10	Utilization.....	43
Light.....	11	Lumber.....	43
Susceptibility to injury.....	11	Shingles.....	45
Fire.....	12	Lath.....	46
Disease and decay.....	13	Woodenware.....	47
Insects.....	15	Poles, shores, and spars.....	47
Wind.....	15	Small poles, posts, and stakes.....	50
Natural reproduction.....	16	Other uses.....	52
Seed production and dissemination.....	16	Appendix.....	53
Establishment of seedlings.....	16	Yield tables.....	53
Influence of competing vegetation.....	17	The southern white cedar alignment-chart stand table.....	65
Influence of logging slash.....	17	Volume tables.....	67
Influence of slash fires.....	18	Taper and form tables.....	71
Growth and development of the stand.....	19	Literature cited.....	75
Yields.....	23		

CHARACTERISTICS, GROWTH, AND MANAGEMENT OF THE FOREST

By C. F. KORSTIAN¹

DISTRIBUTION AND OCCURRENCE

Southern white cedar (*Chamaecyparis thyoides*), known also as white cedar, juniper, swamp cedar, and post cedar, is a valuable timber tree of the Atlantic and Gulf coastal swamps and estuaries. Its botanical range extends over the Atlantic coastal plain from

¹ In the conduct of the field work upon which the major portion of the first part of the bulletin is based the writer received valuable assistance from J. S. Holmes, State forester of North Carolina, Alfred Akerman, formerly district forester of Virginia, A. D. LaMonte, formerly assistant forester of New Jersey, and W. R. Hine, formerly assistant silviculturist, Southern Forest Experiment Station. Assistant Silviculturists L. H. Reineke and R. M. Brown, of the Forest Service, assisted in preparing the volume, taper, and yield tables. Additional data for the yield tables were contributed by former Associate State Forester W. M. Baker, of New Jersey and District Forest Warden Lincoln Crowell, of Massachusetts. Sections in the first part were contributed by the following specialists: Diseases, by J. R. Weir, formerly Forest Pathologist, Office of Forest Pathology, Bureau of Plant Industry; Insect Injury, by the Division of Forest Insects, Bureau of Entomology. The Richmond Cedar Works, the Camp Manufacturing Co., and other owners and operators of southern white cedar assisted in various ways.

southern Maine to northern Florida and thence westward along the Gulf coast to the Pearl River Valley in southern Mississippi and eastern Louisiana. (Fig. 1.) Locally, the species is confined to fresh




FIGURE 1.—Distribution of southern white cedar in the United States

interior and river swamps, wet depressions, and stream banks. It is found in many detached tracts along a coast line of more than 2,600 miles.

The commercial range of southern white cedar is very much more restricted than the botanical range. The heaviest commercial stands occurred originally in Virginia and North Carolina, especially in the Dismal Swamp and swamps draining into Albemarle and Pamlico Sounds. Other regions of relatively heavy production centered in southeastern New Jersey and in the vicinity of Mobile Bay and the Perdido, Escambia, and Apalachicola Rivers in Alabama and Florida.

The coastal swamps of the South Atlantic States are being combed repeatedly for southern white cedar trees suitable for poles. In the Dismal Swamp in North Carolina and Virginia, where once were some of the best second-growth stands of this species, the greater part of the merchantable timber has been cut on about 100,000 acres of cedar land. Much the same condition exists in the Albemarle Sound region of North Carolina. In New Jersey and Connecticut also most of the merchantable stands have been cut. Utilization has been very close in New Jersey, where there are many uses for smaller material. Here, as in the Dismal Swamp region, it is common to find several classes of products being cut from the same area, almost as soon as the trees become merchantable.

Three features of the growth of southern white cedar which are largely responsible for its past and present commercial importance

and which at the same time add much to its value for forestry and to the ease with which it may be managed are as follows: (1) Its pronounced tendency to grow in pure stands (fig. 2); (2) the density of these stands (fig. 3); and (3) the fact that these stands are generally even aged, except occasionally where small trees were left in previous cuttings and 2-aged stands have resulted. Throughout the greater part of its range the natural stands of southern white cedar are generally pure, even aged, and dense. Pure stands, frequently called "juniper glades" or "cedar glades," once covered a much larger area than they now do. Such stands occur on areas of swamp peat overlying a sandy subsoil; but as the quantity of silt and clay in the underlying subsoil increases, the proportion of swamp hardwoods



FIGURE 2.—An opening for a logging spur, disclosing the long clear boles in an 85-year-old stand of southern white cedar. (Pasquotank County, N. C.)

increases until the southern white cedar can no longer compete with them.

Mixed stands are generally the result of fire in a juniper glade. In the South Atlantic and Gulf States there has been an increase in mixed stands resulting from the culling over of pure stands for poles. After such cullings some or all of the cedar reproduction which would otherwise replace the trees removed is eliminated by the more rapid growth of various other species, mostly of inferior value.

The extensive latitudinal range of southern white cedar brings it into contact with a large number of associated tree species. The number and diversity of associates increase as the climate becomes warmer and more humid in the South Atlantic and Gulf States. Some characteristic associates of southern white cedar, together with the places found, are as follows:



FIGURE 3.—A dense 50-year-old stand of southern white cedar so badly crowded that the rate of growth is very slow. (Dismal Swamp, Norfolk County, Va.)

Species	States
Eastern hemlock (<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>)-----	Massachusetts and Connecticut.
Northern white pine (<i>Pinus strobus</i>)-----	Do.
Gray birch (<i>Betula populifolia</i>)-----	New Jersey, Massachusetts, and Connecticut.
Pitch pine (<i>Pinus rigida</i>)-----	Do.
Black gum (<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>)-----	Do.
Red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)-----	Massachusetts to Florida and Mississippi.
Pond pine (<i>Pinus rigida serotina</i>)-----	Virginia to South Carolina.
Southern cypress (<i>Taxodium distichum</i>)--	Virginia to Florida and Mississippi.
Swamp black gum (<i>Nyssa biflora</i>)-----	Do.
Sweetbay (<i>Magnolia virginiana</i>)-----	Do.
Redbay (<i>Persea borbonia</i>)-----	Do.
Loblolly-bay (<i>Gordonia lasianthus</i>)-----	North Carolina and South Carolina.
Slash pine (<i>Pinus caribaea</i>)-----	Georgia and Florida to Mississippi.
Spruce pine (<i>Pinus glabra</i>)-----	Florida and Alabama.
Titi (<i>Cliftonia monophylla</i>)-----	Do.

On the more fertile muck or sandy loam swamp soils in eastern North Carolina, southern white cedar is sometimes associated with loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) and yellow poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), along with the common swamp hardwoods. In such situations the cedar may form as much as half the stand, although here it tends to give way to these more aggressive competitors.

On good sites mature cedar stands of average density 75 to 100 years old contain trees ranging from 4 to 24 inches in diameter and averaging 10 to 14 inches. From 45 to 85 per cent of the trees are more than 10 inches in diameter. The dominant trees in such stands vary from 70 to 100 feet and average about 80 or 85 feet in height. A height of 120 feet and a diameter of 5 feet have been reported. In the Dismal Swamp region and southward most of the southern white cedar lands may be regarded as good sites, producing trees 50 to 70 feet tall in 50 years; from New Jersey northward the cedar lands are capable of producing trees 20 to 50 feet tall in the same time.

A dense understory where tangled vines and native shrubs make passage difficult is common in white cedar stands. (Fig. 4.) Where fire has burned off the original stand, areas, locally called "lights," occur, in which tangled shrubby undergrowth is present to the exclusion of tree growth. (Fig. 5.)



FIGURE 4.—Interior of an 85-year-old stand of southern white cedar with dense undergrowth. (Dismal Swamp, Pasquotank County, N. C.)

THE TREE

FORM AND DEVELOPMENT

Southern white cedar is one of the most graceful and symmetrical conifers of eastern North America. The terminal shoots and branch tips lack the rigidity common to spruce, fir, and pine, and the crown is formed of slender, horizontal branches with somewhat pendent sprays of branchlets and twigs. The leader, or terminal shoot, often droops in the direction opposite from that of the prevailing wind.

In early life the tree has a conical stem, which later becomes less tapering, especially in closed stands having an unbroken crown canopy.

Mature southern white cedar grown in closed stands has a long, clear, straight bole and is an ideal tree for the saw. In dense stands the crown is very short, narrow, rather thin and conical in shape, commonly occupies only the upper one-fourth or one-fifth of the stem, and may be only 15 to 25 feet long by 10 to 20 feet wide. Crowding causes the bole to assume a more nearly cylindrical form below the crown and a very rapid taper within the crown. With increasing age, the crown gradually dies off at its base and finally becomes flattened and irregular.

Open-grown trees have greater taper and longer crowns. They are more limby and therefore have rougher boles than those grown in dense stands.



F161859

FIGURE 5.—Southern white cedar timber killed by fire during a dry autumn. (Dismal Swamp, Norfolk County, Va.)

LEAVES

The two, or rarely three, seed leaves (cotyledons) are flat, linear, and rounded at the apex. (Fig. 6, A-C.) During the first year the primary stem is covered with rigid, sharp-pointed, horizontally spreading linear leaves about a quarter of an inch long (fig. 6, F), light green above and marked below on each side of the prominent midrib with pale bands (three or four parallel rows of stomata). The awl-shaped primary leaves are produced singly, in pairs, or in distinct whorls of three to five leaves each; they usually wither by the end of the first or second season. The foliage on the branchlets of older stems consists mostly of secondary leaves, which are dull bluish green, scalelike, ovate-pointed, awl-shaped, one-sixteenth to one-eighth inch long, 4-ranked, and closely appressed against the flat branchlets or occurring on vigorous shoots and leaders spreading at the tips. (Fig. 7, C, Y.) They entirely cover the ends of the slender, drooping twigs. When exposed to the sun during the winter the secondary leaves and branchlets frequently become rusty brown. During the second season the leaves usually die and turn reddish brown, but they persist on the branchlets for many years.

FLOWERS

The flowers appear early in the spring, usually in March or April at intermediate latitudes. The male and female flowers are produced separately, although on the same tree. The male or staminate flowers are oblong, 4-sided, and about one-eighth inch long. (Fig. 7, H, I.)



FIGURE 6.—Southern white cedar seedlings of various ages: A, Seedling immediately after germination, bearing only cotyledonary leaves; B, seedling several days older, bearing primary leaves; C, D, E, more advanced plants, several weeks old; F, seedling at end of first year; G, seedling 2 years old; H, seedling 3 years old. (All slightly reduced)

Each of the 10 to 21 stamens is provided with two pollen sacs. (Fig. 7, J-P.) The female or pistillate flowers are borne on the short lateral branchlets of terminal shoots. (Fig. 7, A-C.) They are about one-eighth inch in diameter and consist of three pairs of scales set at right angles. These scales are thinner and paler than the surrounding

leaves. Those of the uppermost pair are mostly sterile. The others bear two rounded, minute, flasklike ovules on their upper or inner sides. (Fig. 7, D-G.)

CONES

The pistillate flower develops into the cone, or ripened fruit, by the end of the first season. The rather inconspicuous spherical cones, about one-quarter inch in diameter, are formed of five shield-shaped scales arranged in two pairs at right angles to each other, with a single



FIGURE 7.—Flowers and fruit of southern white cedar: A, Branchlet with young pistillate flowers; B, branchlet with fully developed pistillate flowers; C, the same slightly magnified; D, E, pistillate flowers laid open longitudinally to show arrangement; F, G, the same viewed from above; H, branchlet with staminate flowers; I, staminate flower subtended by two alternate whorls of leaves; J, K, anthers bearing three pollen sacs; L, M, the same from inner side, pollen sacs split open; N, O, anthers with two pollen sacs; P, cross section of stamen showing attachment to filament; Q, branchlet with mature fruit; R, mature unopened cone; S, slightly opened mature cone viewed from above; T, U, side view of open cones (seeds discharged); V, the same from above, top scale removed; W, cross section through closed cone showing position of seeds; X, the same with the seeds separated from their scales; Y, branchlet showing arrangement of leaves. (A, B, H, and Q somewhat reduced and others somewhat magnified)

scale in the middle. Occasionally cones are found with six scales. These scales are slightly rough and angular, and the depressed center has a weak prickle. (Fig. 7, R-X.) The cones are light green and covered with a gray or bluish bloom while immature, changing to bluish purple, and finally dark reddish brown when ripe. They mature in one year and contain about 5 to 15 winged seeds, or an average of 10 seeds per cone, of which 1 to 3 are embedded in a groove at the base of each fertile scale. There are approximately 159,360 cones to the bushel, weighing 25 to 35 pounds, depending upon their dryness.

SEEDS

The seeds are rounded, slightly compressed, light brown, and about one-eighth inch long, bearing winged membranaceous margins about as broad as the seeds and darker in color, formed by the seed coats. There are between 420,000 and 500,000 clean, ripe seeds to the pound, the average of 11 separate determinations of seed from the Dismal Swamp region in North Carolina and Virginia being 460,000. The average weight per thousand is 0.96 gram (0.034 ounce). The percentage of germination is 70 to 90.

ROOTS

Southern white cedar has a shallow root system. In swamps where the lower soil layers are permanently saturated with water, the roots are confined chiefly to the upper 1 to 2 feet of peat. Where the water table occurs at lower levels and the soils are more deeply aerated, the roots often penetrate to greater depths.

The small taproot formed during the first year is subsequently lost in the development of the strong superficial lateral roots. (Fig. 6, F, G, H.) These are numerous but do not become large. They are covered with a thin, firm bark composed of a pale-red or reddish-brown outer layer and a white inner layer.

BARK

The volume of bark varies from 35 per cent of the total volume in trees 1 inch d. b. h.² to 11 per cent in trees 24 inches in diameter. Although the bark is usually quite thin over the entire tree, in old mature trees it may sometimes become 1 to 2 inches thick at the stump. The bark gradually decreases in thickness with increased height, until it is no more than 0.1 inch or so where the stem is 1 inch in diameter. The bark varies in color from ashy gray to light reddish brown on the outside and is usually bright cinnamon brown underneath. On small branches and young trees the bark is quite smooth, except that it may be slightly furrowed by long, shallow fissures. On older trees the bark is irregularly furrowed into narrow, flat, connected ridges separating into loose, elongated, platelike scales which easily peel off in long, tough, fibrous strips.

GROWTH REQUIREMENTS

CLIMATE

At some points in the wide range of southern white cedar the mean annual precipitation is as low as 40 inches and at others is as high as 60 inches. As a rule the precipitation is rather evenly distributed

² D. b. h.—diameter at breast height, or 4½ feet.

throughout the year, but in the southern part a peak of heavy rainfall occurs during July and August.

The humidity is generally high, owing to the proximity to the coast. The relative humidity commonly averages between 70 and 85 per cent. Atmospheric humidity is apparently not essential for this species so long as the soil is abundantly supplied with water, but low humidity may be a limiting factor when soil moisture is deficient.

The great variation in temperature to which southern white cedar is subjected is shown by the records of the four widely separated United States Weather Bureau stations, presented in Table 1. Portland, Me., is near the northern limit of the species, and Pensacola, Fla., may be considered typical of the region of moderately heavy production centering in Alabama and Florida. The southeastern New Jersey center of production is represented by the Tuckerton station, and Norfolk stands for the region in which the maximum yields have occurred. The wide variation between the midwinter (January) mean minimum temperature of 14.7° F. for Portland, Me., and the midsummer (July) mean maximum of 86.8° for Norfolk, Va., and Pensacola, Fla., is significant. Within its range the temperature varies from a minimum of -25° at North Grosvenor Dale, Conn., to a maximum of 110° at Talbotton, Ga., a difference of 135° F.

The length of the growing season is indicated approximately by the period during which killing frosts do not occur. In southern Maine this period averages only 157 days; at Tuckerton, N. J., 169 days; at Norfolk, Va., 237 days; and at Pensacola, Fla., 286 days.

TABLE 1.—Mean temperature and frost dates for selected stations within the range of southern white cedar ¹

Station	Mean maximum temperature			Mean minimum temperature			Mean temperature			Average date of last killing frost	Average date of first killing frost
	January	July	Annual	January	July	Annual	January	July	Annual		
	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.	°F.		
Portland.....	30.1	78.1	54.0	14.7	61.5	38.6	22.4	69.8	46.3	May 14	Oct. 18
Tuckerton.....	41.8	84.5	62.5	23.4	63.8	42.3	32.6	74.1	52.4	Apr. 28	Oct. 14
Norfolk.....	49.0	86.8	67.4	33.5	70.5	51.4	41.2	78.6	59.4	Mar. 25	Nov. 17
Pensacola.....	60.2	86.8	74.5	45.9	74.7	61.0	53.0	80.8	67.7	Feb. 23	Dec. 6

¹ Based on records of 22 years for Tuckerton, 44 years for Pensacola, 48 to 50 years for Norfolk, and 46 to 50 years for Portland, as given in U. S. Department of Agriculture, Weather Bur. Bul. W, ed. 2, 1926.

Southern white cedar in general requires a warm, equable, humid climate for its best development. These requirements are met in the peat swamps above tidewater bordering the South Atlantic and the Gulf seaboard, in which high temperatures, long growing seasons, and high atmospheric humidities prevail. The most aggressive competitors of the cedar also grow more rapidly in this region under the same combination of temperature and humidity.

SOIL AND SOIL MOISTURE

Southern white cedar is far more exacting in its site requirements than in its demands for heat or atmospheric humidity. Soil and soil moisture are the chief factors limiting the occurrence of this species within its natural range. It is strictly a swamp tree, and the limits of

its occurrence usually coincide with the edges of fresh-water swamps, although a few short, scrubby trees are found on drier and more elevated lands. In the more inland parts of its range this species occurs along the sandy beds of small streams or the contiguous sandy flats subject to frequent overflow. Dense, pure stands are confined to areas of acid swamp peat overlying a sandy subsoil. The hardwood-cypress swamps surrounding the cedar glades, especially those in the Dismal Swamp and Albemarle Sound regions of North Carolina, are underlain by a clay or sand-clay subsoil less acid than that of the cedar swamps. The proportion of swamp hardwoods in the cedar stands becomes greater as the amount of silt and clay in the underlying subsoil increases. Peat mosses (*Sphagnum*) are usually abundant in most of the wet cedar swamps. Although the water in both the cedar and hardwood swamps is acid, that of the former is fresh and usually drinkable, whereas that of the latter is often stagnant and polluted. Water ordinarily stands in the depressions of the cedar swamps for several consecutive months during the winter and spring. In unusually, dry seasons, particularly in the autumn, the water table may fall as much as 2 to 3 feet below the surface.

Although requiring much water, southern white cedar can yet withstand such dry periods successfully. On upland soils, where the tree has been occasionally planted as an ornamental, the much lower water supply is reflected in slower growth and less vigorous appearance. Natural reproduction in such situations generally fails to survive.

LIGHT

The species is very tolerant of shade, especially in early youth—almost as much so as hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) and the balsam firs (*Abies balsamea* and *A. fraseri*). However, it can not survive under the extremely heavy shade of a dense stand of older cedar (7, 11).³ The young trees will stand about as much shade as most of the associated hardwoods and much more than northern white pine, pitch pine, pond pine, slash pine, and southern cypress. Young cedar seedlings sometimes become established under the shade of swamp shrubs.

After the juvenile stage is passed, the tree becomes more exacting in its demands for sunlight. This is evident from the absence of young trees among the undergrowth of closed stands and from the dying out of seedlings, suppressed trees, and the lower branches at an early age. The intense struggle for light is reflected in the rapid height growth of the young tree and the development of a slender, slightly tapering bole.


SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INJURY

In comparison with associated species, southern white cedar ranks high in resistance to injuries. It is susceptible to wind throw but is almost immune to insect attack. Parasitic diseases ordinarily cause only light losses, except where the bark has been removed from standing trees and rapid decay of the sapwood ensues. In southern New Jersey many trees have been ruined by fishermen who use strips of the tough bark on which to string their fish; and in the more remote swamps farther south bears have made decay possible by stripping the bark from the trees. (Fig. 8, A.) Fire is by far the worst enemy.

³ Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 75.

FIRE

Because of its thin bark and highly inflammable leaves and twigs southern white cedar is at all ages very susceptible to fire. Fire scars on living trees are uncommon in this species, since most trees subjected to fire are killed. Even a very light fire is sufficient to kill the cambium (the layer of growing cells between the bark and the wood), and the trees thus girdled die. Many trees on the edges of cedar swamps are



F170835 F163309

FIGURE 8.—A, Southern white cedar tree from which bark has been stripped by a bear, causing decay to set in near the base (Dismal Swamp, Pasquotank County, N. C.); B, type of injury resulting from *Gymnosporangium botryapites*. (Atlantic County, N. J.)

killed by fires coming from the outside, but in most cedar swamps the peat is so wet and the air within the forest so humid that fires seldom sweep through except during unusually dry seasons or when an unusually hot fire is driven by a strong wind. The larger trees are sometimes not killed outright but die gradually from the top down.

In dry seasons, when the peat becomes thoroughly dried, fires on adjacent pine, hardwood, or brush lands sometimes spread to the white cedar swamps and cause severe damage. Not only young growth but older stands may thus be destroyed and the peat burned down to the

water table or the underlying soil. The most disastrous fires are generally those which burn in slash a few years after logging. These fires destroy large areas of young growth. They will be considered further under the heading Influence of Slash Fires on Natural Reproduction.

DISEASE AND DECAY⁴

The fungi of importance in the management and utilization of southern white cedar may be considered in two main groups—those attacking the living tree and those attacking the wood after it is cut.

FUNGI OF THE LIVING TREE

The fungi of the first group may be divided into two classes, as follows: (1) Parasitic fungi, attacking the living parts of the tree and reducing the growth; and (2) cull fungi, attacking the heartwood and causing loss in the quantity and quality of merchantable timber. At present the direct loss in merchantable timber renders the cull fungi the more important.

Keithia chamaecyparissi Adams is intensely parasitic and is very destructive to the foliage of young trees and seedlings. In dense stands in the northern range of the tree, seedlings are killed and the foliage of the lower branches or of young trees may be entirely destroyed. The fungus is parasitic on the leaves, causing them to turn brown, then gray. This attack on the leaves ends with the death of the young twigs. The presence of this fungus in nurseries is to be expected. The fruiting bodies (perithecia) of the fungus are usually conspicuously kidney-shaped areas on the upper side of the leaf. They rupture from one side. *Lophodermium juniperinum* (Fr.) De Not. has a similar effect but is not so destructive. It appears to be infrequent and attacks the foliage only when it is in a constantly moist condition, as on the branches of young trees bent near the ground and on seedlings covered with forest débris. The fungus is inconspicuous but may be distinguished by the small-sized, black fruiting body which ruptures irregularly.

Asterina cypressina Cke. attacks the leaves of the older twigs, causing them to wither and die. The effects of this fungus have been studied in only a few cases, and hence its importance and the degree of its parasitism are at present unknown.

Gymnosporangium myricatum (Schw.) Fromme (*G. ellisii* (Berk.) Farl.) is a broom-forming rust, with its alternate stage on the leaves of *Crataegus*. The fungus causes spherical and oblong swellings on the branches and trunks, frequently accompanied by a broomlike development of the branches. The mycelium of the fungus is perennial in the swellings and gradually causes the affected parts to increase in size from year to year. Although the fungus may completely dwarf and deform young trees, it has not been found in sufficient quantity to require much attention. On mature trees the large brooms are sometimes very conspicuous. In Rhode Island this fungus is reported by Snell and Howard⁵ as apparently killing some small trees and causing numerous galls on large ones. Of slightly less importance is *G. botryapites* (Schw.) Kern. This fungus, hibernating in the cortex, causes spindle-shaped swellings of the branches.

⁴ Prepared by J. R. Weir, formerly Forest Pathologist, Office of Forest Pathology, Bureau of Plant Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture.

⁵ SNELL, W. H., and HOWARD, N. O. Unpublished notes on fungi, chiefly destroyers of structural timber.

Sometimes the swellings on the trunk attain a large size and are characterized by a rough-ridged arrangement of the bark. (Fig. 8, B.) The swellings of *G. myricatum* are usually warty and laterally developed.

Trametes subrosea (Weir) is by far the most important fungus so far found destroying the heartwood of southern white cedar. The sporophores, or fruiting bodies, may easily be recognized by the pink or rose pores and context, but they are inconspicuously located on the tree, often being concealed in the crotches of the roots. The upper surface of the sporophore becomes discolored with age.

The disease spreads chiefly by wind-blown spores. In dense stands there is some evidence of passing from one tree to another through decayed roots.

The decay, which is confined to the heartwood, may be found in both the butt and the trunk. In the butt the rot may extend into the brace roots, eventually making the tree liable to wind throw; in the trunk it rarely extends beyond the first log length and is frequently confined to definite areas in the wood, forming pockets. In the roots the rot is more uniform. In its earlier stages it is light reddish brown; later it becomes darker and breaks up into more or less rectangular blocks which crumble under pressure.

The age at which trees may become infected varies with the site. The earliest observed infection of normal southern white cedar by *Trametes subrosea* appeared in trees from 40 to 50 years old. In dry situations infection occurs later than in wet situations. It is not possible at present to estimate the extent of the loss caused by fungi in the living tree. In general, the species may be considered fairly free from decay.

An unidentified, laminated, spongy butt rot is sometimes found, but it has not been possible to connect it with the sporophores of any fungus. In appearance the rot resembles that caused by *Poria weirii* on western red cedar and may eventually be found to be the same.

Polyporus schweinitzii Fr., which destroys the wood of most conifers, is not at present believed to be of much importance in rotting the wood of this tree; only a few instances of the work of this fungus have been observed. The fungus causes a butt and root rot. The rot is darker in color, more pronouncedly cubical, more friable, and more uniform throughout the heartwood than is the rot caused by *Trametes subrosea*.

One instance of the occurrence of *Fomes annosus* and one of *Armillaria mellea* on southern white cedar have been studied, but the extent of the damage thus caused is not known. The sporophores of the former fungus are stratified, hard, and woody, inconspicuously located in the root crotches, and usually have shell-like corrugations on the upper surface with a whitish under surface. *A. mellea* is of the mushroom type of fungi and may appear in clumps about the base of the affected tree. Both species are parasitic on the roots and later cause a decay of the heartwood. The rots produced are white.

The cull fungi of the living tree in most cases continue their attack when the wood is cut and left in moist locations. *Trametes subrosea* is reported by Korstian as destroying the sapwood of cut timbers in the forest in five to eight years.

FUNGI ATTACKING CUT TIMBER ONLY

A large number of fungi never found on the living tree do considerable damage to fallen trunks and wood stored in the forest in other forms. *Polyporus adustus* (Willd.) Fr., *P. gilvus* Schw., *Polystictus versicolor* (L.) Fr., *P. hirsutus* Fr., *Schizophyllum commune* Fr., and several of the resupinate *Porias* and *Thelephoras*, are the most common of these. Ordinarily these fungi may be considered beneficial to the forest in that they rapidly reduce the slash; but where merchantable material is allowed to remain for some time in the forest or is used there for ties in roadbeds or for other general structural or improvement work, fungous attacks may prove very costly. This is also true of cordwood stacked in the swamp or in other wet situations; the sapwood is rapidly broken down, and the value of the wood is greatly reduced. Cordwood, crossties, and other materials should be removed from the forest within a year after being cut. As the site is usually wet, considerable damage may result even within six months. Where permanency is desired, as in structural work supporting logging railroads through the swamps and ties in the roadbed, timbers should be treated before being placed in service.

Fomes pinicola, usually one of the most prolific destroyers of coniferous wood, is not common on southern white cedar.

INSECTS⁶

Southern white cedar has practically no serious insect enemies. The larvae of the common bagworm (*Thyridopteryx ephemeraeformis* Haw.) occasionally feed on the foliage and sometimes completely defoliate small trees. Dead and standing cedar may be attacked by termites or white ants (*Reticulitermes* spp.) which rapidly render the timber unmerchantable. Prompt use of fire-killed or insect-killed timber will largely prevent such damage.

WIND

Because of its characteristically shallow root system and weak root hold in the spongy peat of the swamp, southern white cedar can not withstand severe winds, and many mature trees are thrown by storms. Trees which grow in exposed situations along the swamp margins, where they habitually encounter strong winds, are more windfirm, but such trees are also scrubby and of little value for lumber or poles. Southern white cedar trees which have grown in dense stands on swamp peat never become windfirm, and full consideration must be given this fact in planning the cutting method to be applied.

Wind, in addition to wind throw, causes damage to cedar timber through breakage and wind-shake. Overmature trees are most subject to breakage and therefore to fungi which enter the exposed wood of the shattered tops. Wind-shake is a defect which in cross section appears as a separation of the annual rings. It results from the heavy stresses in the lower part of the tree, caused by severe winds, but seldom extends above the first log. This mechanical defect is of infrequent occurrence.

In the northern part of the tree's range wind increases the damage done by heavy snow and sleet.

⁶ Prepared in the Division of Forest Insects, Bureau of Entomology, U. S. Department of Agriculture.

NATURAL REPRODUCTION

Southern white cedar has a number of characteristics which fit it particularly well for natural reproduction, which in this species originates almost wholly from seed. Sprouting is limited to the infrequent development of shoots from dormant buds when seedlings and saplings are cut off or otherwise injured.

SEED PRODUCTION AND DISSEMINATION

Southern white cedar produces large crops of seed nearly every year; it is an unusual year when no seed is produced. Also, seed production begins early in the tree's life—in open stands at the very early age of 4 or 5 years and in dense stands at 10 to 20 years. It continues plentifully thereafter. Observation indicates that little of this seed is consumed by birds and rodents. Reproduction, therefore, depends much more upon the proper conditions for seed germination and seedling survival than upon seed supply.

The cones mature in the early autumn and, under normal conditions, open and release the seeds soon afterwards. The seeds are well adapted by their small size and relatively large wings to wide distribution by wind. They are also disseminated to some extent by floating in small streams and swamps. The distances to which the wind carries them depend on the height of the tree and the wind velocity. Although convectional air currents and all the factors influencing wind velocity have a bearing upon the distance seed is disseminated, if the average wind conditions during dissemination and the rate of fall of the seed in still air are known, the average distance to which most of the seed will be carried can be determined.

The average rate of fall of southern white cedar seed in still air was determined experimentally by the late H. W. Siggins, at the California Forest Experiment Station, to be 0.6 foot a second.⁷ Calculations based upon this rate of fall go to show that most of the cedar seed from a 50-foot tree will be carried about 600 feet by a 5-mile wind and that from a 70-foot height a 30-mile wind will carry it approximately a mile.

Many seeds filter into the litter and peat of the forest floor. When samples of the upper inch of peat from a mature white cedar forest were spread out under ideal conditions for seed germination, cedar seed germinated at the rate of over 2,570,000 seedlings per acre (4). Seed in samples from the second inch of the peat layer germinated at the rate of nearly 1,570,000 per acre. Samples of the upper inch of the peat layer from an area cut clean the previous year yielded some 3,580,000 seedlings per acre. How many of these were dormant seeds and had been held over longer than a year is a matter of speculation.

ESTABLISHMENT OF SEEDLINGS

Seed of the southern white cedar germinates promptly. Moisture and heat are required for germination, and for seedling establishment light is an additional requirement. Although the peat is usually saturated with water at the lower levels, the moisture in the

⁷ To obtain the average horizontal distance in feet that seed is disseminated, it is necessary only to make the following computation: Divide the average height from which the seed is distributed by the rate of fall in still air to obtain the number of seconds necessary for the seed to fall through still air; multiply this figure by the wind velocity in miles per hour and the product by 1.47, the flow in feet per second of wind having a velocity of 1 mile an hour.



F161845 F161849

A, Dense slash resulting from logging southern white cedar. In such areas reproduction of cedar is prevented by the heavy shade of the slash. The standing trees in the center foreground are pond pines, cypress, and swamp hardwoods. These species will restock the area covered by the slash. (Dismal Swamp, Pasquotank County, N. C.); B, a rollway from which the logs have been removed. Dense slash on both sides of the rollway prevents reproduction of southern white cedar, but good stands commonly develop in the rollways. (Dismal Swamp, Pasquotank County, N. C.)



F170825 F169140

A, Southern white cedar reproduction which came up following a spring slash fire eight years before. Since all white cedar left on the area following logging was killed by the fire which occurred when the swamp was full of water, this fine stand of young growth evidently originated from seed stored in the upper layer of peat. In November, 1922, water was found here only in the depressions. (Dismal Swamp, Camden County, N. C.); B, a burn similar to the one shown in Plate 2, A, except that the upper layer of peat was consumed by an autumn fire. A dense stand of swamp black gum and red maple resulted. Charred stumps indicate that the area was once covered with a heavy stand of southern white cedar. In November, 1922, water was 6 to 18 inches deep all over the area. (Dismal Swamp, Norfolk County, Va.)

upper layers may fluctuate to such an extent as to become a controlling factor. When the water table is low, as during the summer and early autumn, many seedlings that started in the moss on stumps and logs and on hummocks die from lack of moisture. When the water table is high, as in the winter and spring, many seedlings which germinate in the depressions or on areas subject to prolonged flooding are drowned. At such times the cedar seedlings that survive are those on the small hummocks of peat around stumps, on moss-covered logs and stumps, and in rotten wood above the general water level. The warm, open situations, such as recent burns in water-filled swamps, recently cut-over lands, and clearings, offer the best conditions for the establishment of young cedar stands.

INFLUENCE OF COMPETING VEGETATION

Although white cedar seedlings frequently start under a cover of swamp shrubs, the shade is generally so dense as to hinder or prevent seedling establishment. The swamp shrubs, because of their extreme tolerance of shade, are abundant even under dense stands. When the overstory is cut, the undergrowth of shrubs, vines, and cane, under the influence of increased light, may develop into a dense, almost impenetrable jungle, in which the white cedar seedlings become established with great difficulty. The swamp hardwood trees further increase the density of this tangle of vegetation. Where the underbrush and hardwoods are cut or broken down, as in the rollways and tramways, the cedar reproduction develops with little competition; but between the rollways and tramways the seedlings are often hindered by the competing vegetation.

INFLUENCE OF LOGGING SLASH

The dense slash 2 to 4 feet deep left after logging heavy stands in southeastern Virginia and eastern North Carolina is another hindrance to the establishment of reproduction.⁸ (Pl. 1, A.) Measurements show that in logging operations about three-fifths of the cut-over area is covered with slash and underbrush after logging and that the remaining two-fifths is left clear. (Pl. 1, B.) The white cedar seedlings come up in dense stands in the cleared areas between the masses of slash. Old rollways and tramways are often marked by the dense reproduction that comes up in them. Actual counts on small areas have shown from 100,000 to over 2,000,000 cedar seedlings per acre, 1 to 3 years old, on recent cuttings and over 30,000 to the acre in the same situations on 8-year-old cuttings. The cedar reproduction is usually so dense in the rollways and tramways that the sprout growth of swamp hardwoods and shrubs is forced to a subordinate position in the stand.

On the other hand, few seeds germinate, and a still smaller number of seedlings survive in the cool dark situations under the dense slash between the rollways and tramways. (Table 2.) The hardwood sprouts come up through the dense slash, and by the time the slash has decayed sufficiently to form suitable seed-bed conditions for

⁸ In New Jersey the usual clear-cutting results in practically all slash being concentrated on narrow corduroy roads, called "crossways" or "causeways," built for hauling out the products; thus most of the area is left in good condition for reproduction.

cedar—in 5 to 10 years—the hardwoods have become so tall that they form the body of the stand to the exclusion of the cedar. Areas of dense slash interspersed with the cleared areas therefore tend to result in mixed stands of white cedar and swamp hardwoods on unburned cutting areas.

TABLE 2.—*Effect of logging slash upon southern white cedar reproduction in Dismal Swamp, Va. and N. C.*

Condition of area	Time since cutting	Seedlings surviving per acre	Basis, area
	Years	Number	Square feet
Covered with dense slash.....	1	135	¹ 325
Do.....	2	² 157	³ 5,000
Originally dense slash, now dense underbrush 10 to 20 feet tall.....	8	145	³ 1,000
Covered with light slash.....	1	1,350	¹ 225
Cleared of slash ⁴	1	12,414	¹ 850
Do.....	2	⁵ 4,513	³ 5,000
Do.....	8	11,500	³ 1,000

¹ Counts made on plots 5 feet square laid out at intervals of 50 feet.

² 67 per cent are 1-year-old seedlings; area also contains 1,873 red maple and 113 swamp black gum sprouts per acre.

³ Counts made on 5-foot strips.

⁴ Counts made in rollways and skidder pullways.

⁵ 57 per cent are 1-year-old seedlings; area also contains 1,045 red maple and 105 swamp black gum sprouts per acre.

INFLUENCE OF SLASH FIRES

The slash left after logging is very inflammable and the slash fires, which are very common, customarily burn down to the water level. The living underbrush also burns and increases the destructiveness of the fire. When the water table is lowered during dry seasons, the drying out of the litter, moss, and peat adds to the fire hazard and greatly increases the seriousness of the fire. With the destruction of the upper layer of peat, the accumulated seed, which would otherwise give rise to new stands of cedar, is destroyed.

In the numerous instances in which dense stands of white cedar reproduction have sprung up on clear-cut areas following single slash fires, the fires occurred when the swamp was filled with water and before the seed dormant in the peat had germinated. (Pl. 2.) All these areas have escaped disastrous fires during the dry seasons following logging. An instance of a severe slash fire which did not destroy the seed because the swamp was flooded at the time is the Dismal Swamp fire of Easter Sunday, 1913. This fire covered several thousand acres of recently cut slash. All of this area not burned over a second time restocked promptly with cedar. In general, cut-over cedar areas burned the spring after cutting, when the swamp was filled with water, were found to have restocked within six years after the fire, with an average of 3,000 to 3,500 white cedar seedlings per acre.

However, the disastrous effects of a single fire during a dry season or of a second burn at any time can not be overemphasized. Such burns generally result in a more or less complete change in the composition of the succeeding forest (4). When the original stand of southern white cedar is destroyed, together with the seed in the upper layer of peat, the new stand is likely to be dominated by thicker-barked pines in the original stand which can resist any ordinary fire and reseed the area. In Virginia, North Carolina, and South

Carolina this will be pond pine (fig. 9) and in the Gulf States slash pine. A severe dry-season fire which destroys most of the pines, together with the white cedar, or one which consumes a large amount of peat, results in a stand in which swamp hardwoods are predominant. (Pl. 2, B.) These hardwoods will sprout and come up through a foot or more of water.

GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STAND

The growth of southern white cedar is moderately rapid, its rate depending chiefly upon the quality of the site. Growth is also influenced by density of stocking and condition of the stand.

Throughout the life of the stand the trees compete with each other for light and growing space above ground and for water and growing space below ground. For the first few years the seedlings are seldom numerous enough to utilize all the growing space available, and competition is not intense except in small, dense patches. The seedlings



FIGURE 9.—A southern white cedar swamp restocking to pond pine following an autumn fire. F170829
Of the survivors, the live conifers are pond pine which are effectively reseeding the area. The dead trees are southern white cedar. (Dismal Swamp, Norfolk County, Va.)

grow rapidly in height and soon become tall and slender. After a few years the increase in height, crown width, and spread of roots is sufficient to cause crowding in reproduction of average density. The trees that are largest because of more rapid growth the first few years are better fitted to continue growth at an average rate, as shown in Table 3. The shorter trees with small crowns grow more and more slowly until they literally die of starvation. In 10 or 15 year old stands the crowns have usually closed together, and a difference in size of the trees becomes noticeable. At this stage of development the surviving trees can conveniently be grouped into crown classes, based on the relative intensities of light received.

During this period, on the better sites, any southern cypress growing with the white cedar will be exceeded in height by the cedar, as shown in Table 4. When it is thus overtopped, the cypress may remain some time before being shaded out but seldom endures until the maturity of the stand. Table 4 also shows that where pond pine occurs its height growth is more rapid than that of the cedar, a tendency that may be attributed to pond pine's intolerance of shade, which compels it to attain dominance in the cedar stands or be

shaded out. Although this dominant position is successfully held by those trees that attain it, the proportion of pond pine in the stand is generally very small.

TABLE 3.—*Height of young southern white cedar at various ages in different regions*¹

Age (years)	In typical white cedar swamps			On areas unfavorable for white cedar		
	Florida	Dismal Swamp, Va. and N. C.	New Jersey and Connecticut	Cranberry bogs and continuously flooded areas in New Jersey	Edge of pine barrens in New Jersey	Edge of sandy pineland in Bladen County, N. C.
	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>
1.....	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2
2.....	1.7	1.2	1.2	.5	.5	.4
3.....	2.9	2.2	2.0	.7	.7	.6
4.....	4.3	3.5	2.8	1.0	1.0	.8
5.....	5.8	5.4	3.6	1.2	1.3	1.0
6.....	7.5	7.4	4.5	1.5	1.7	1.2
7.....	9.4	9.4	6.4	1.9	2.2	1.4
8.....		11.3	7.3	2.3	2.7	1.7
9.....		13.2	8.3	2.8	3.4	1.9
10.....			9.2	3.4	4.1	2.2
11.....				3.9	4.9	2.5
12.....				4.6	5.8	2.9
13.....				5.2		3.3
14.....				5.9		3.8
15.....				6.6		4.4
Basis:						
Number of trees.....	12	53	69	12	11	10
Number of sections on which ages were determined.....	44	220	264	45	42	40

¹ Grown in full light on various sites.

TABLE 4.—*Height of young southern white cedar, pond pine, and southern cypress at different ages, Dismal Swamp, Va. and N. C.*¹

Age (years)	Southern white cedar	Pond pine	Southern cypress	Age (years)	Southern white cedar	Pond pine	Southern cypress
	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>		<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Feet</i>
1.....	0.5	0.7	0.2	7.....	9.4	12.3	1.6
2.....	1.2	1.6	.4	8.....	11.3		1.9
3.....	2.2	3.0	.6	9.....	13.2		2.3
4.....	3.5	4.9	.8	10.....			2.7
5.....	5.4	7.4	1.1	15.....			5.5
6.....	7.4	9.9	1.3				

¹ The number of sections on which ages were determined was 220 for southern white cedar, 30 for pond pine, and 16 for southern cypress; the numbers of trees measured were, respectively, 53, 5, and 6. The trees were grown in full light on optimum white cedar sites.

Not all young white cedar stands behave in the manner just described. Many even-aged stands are so dense from the start that they begin to stagnate after the first few years, especially on the poorer sites. Stagnation, or the mutual suppression of the growth of all trees, is due to intense competition and resultant reduction of foliage. The lower branches are killed by shade, making the crowns so short that growth is greatly retarded. The differentiation into crown classes is correspondingly slow. When released from this intense competition and given the opportunity to develop larger crowns capable of sustaining increased growth, the trees that are not too old or have not been suppressed too long will grow again at the usual rate.

From the time the crowns begin to touch and crowd each other the differentiation in height, diameter, and form becomes rapid. On the better sites an annual increase of 1 to 1½ feet in height and 0.1 to 0.15 inch d. b. h. is maintained by these trees until they reach an age of 40 to 50 years. After this age the height growth gradually decreases, practically ceasing at an age of about 100 years. Diameter growth, although reaching its maximum rate at about 50 years, continues at a rate of 0.1 to 0.15 inch a year for the next 50 years. Trees growing in open stands have a more rapid rate of diameter growth (Table 5), but the height growth is about the same.

TABLE 5.—*Comparison of average diameter at breast height of open and well-stocked southern white cedar stands*¹

Age (years)	Average diameter in open stands	Average diameter in well-stocked stands	Age (years)	Average diameter in open stands	Average diameter in well-stocked stands
	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>		<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>
20.....	3.4	2.5	55.....	10.4	8.2
25.....	4.4	3.2	60.....	11.4	8.9
30.....	5.4	4.1	65.....	12.3	9.5
35.....	6.4	5.0	70.....	13.3	10.0
40.....	7.4	5.8	75.....	14.2	10.6
45.....	8.4	6.7	80.....	15.1	11.1
50.....	9.4	7.5			

¹ Basis for open stands, ring counts at breast height on 36 trees. Basis for well-stocked stands obtained from the yield tables in the appendix (site index 62 feet at 50 years—the same site quality as that on which the open stands occurred).

The conifers associating with southern white cedar in swamps which are not too deeply or continuously flooded commonly take the lead in rate of diameter growth. Table 6 gives a comparison of diameter growth of southern white cedar, hemlock, and white pine on a moderately poor cedar site in Connecticut. Other comparisons of average breast-high diameters reached at an age of 50 years are as follows: On the better cedar sites in the Dismal Swamp in Virginia, pond pine 10.1 inches and white cedar 8.2 inches; in a river-edge swamp in Escambia County, Ala., spruce pine 11.8 inches and white cedar 7.8 inches; in a cedar swamp near Poplarville, Miss., slash pine 9 inches and white cedar 7.4 inches. The figure for slash pine agrees with that given by Mattoon (5) for the poorer slash pine lands. In Calhoun County, Fla., slash pine in association with white cedar is growing at a rate somewhat above that given by Mattoon for the better slash pine lands. Many similar cases of greater growth of the associated species have been observed but not recorded.

TABLE 6.—*Comparison of diameter at breast height of southern white cedar, eastern hemlock, and northern white pine at different ages in Connecticut*¹

Age (years)	Southern white cedar	Eastern hemlock	Northern white pine	Age (years)	Southern white cedar	Eastern hemlock	Northern white pine
	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>		<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>
10.....	0.6	0.6	1.5	40.....	4.3	5.2	8.4
15.....	1.2	1.4	2.7	45.....	4.8	5.8	9.5
20.....	1.9	2.2	3.8	50.....	5.2	6.2	10.6
25.....	2.6	3.0	5.0	55.....	5.6	6.6	11.7
30.....	3.3	3.8	6.2	60.....	5.9	6.9	12.8
35.....	3.8	4.6	7.3				

¹ Based on measurements of 20 trees of each species on a moderately poor white cedar site.

In any stand the stems of the tall, full-crowned trees have more rapid taper than those of the smaller trees with shorter crowns. (Table 7.) Trees grown in dense stands have shorter crowns, less taper, and greater volume than those of the same height and diameter in open-grown stands, although their growth rate is less. The growth possibilities of a tree are reliably indicated by crown development and vigor. Vigorous trees have dense, deep-green, full, pointed crowns. Southern white cedar trees with long, narrow crowns generally grow more rapidly than those with short and wide crowns. In raising timber, it is desirable that the volume growth be made by rapid-growing trees that are as free of branches and have as little taper as possible. When both form and rate of growth are considered, 40 to 50 per cent of the total height is probably the most desirable proportion of crown for southern white cedar. Trees with longer crowns are too limby, and those with shorter crowns normally too slow growing.

TABLE 7.—*Relation of crown length to form of southern white cedar*¹

Crown ratio ² (per cent)	Form quotient ³	Crown ratio ² (per cent)	Form quotient ³	Crown ratio ² (per cent)	Form quotient ³	Crown ratio ² (per cent)	Form quotient ³
20.....	0.702	40.....	0.669	55.....	0.626	70.....	0.557
25.....	.695	45.....	.658	60.....	.606	75.....	.530
30.....	.688	50.....	.643	65.....	.583	80.....	.500
35.....	.679						

¹ Based on 377 trees in southeastern New Jersey, southeastern Virginia, eastern North Carolina, and Calhoun County, Fla. Curved.

² Ratio of crown length to total height of tree.

³ Ratio of diameter inside bark at one-half the height above breast height to the breast-high diameter inside bark. The form quotient is a convenient mathematical expression of bole form in forest trees.

The range in diameters in even-aged stands varies with the density of stocking; in dense stands the range is small and in open stands large. In any stand trees of the smallest and largest diameters are relatively infrequent, and the number of trees in any size class increases as the diameter approaches the mean or average of the stand. The same is true of the heights, although the distribution is not quite the same as with diameters and the influence of variation in density is very much less.

The relation between diameter at breast height and height varies with the age of the stand and its density. The height-diameter curve for young, nonstagnant cedar stands (diameters under 4 to 5 inches) is usually a straight line, as A in Figure 10, but in stands of larger and older trees it becomes a curve of the general type shown by B, Figure 10.

In southern white cedar stands the weakest, overtopped trees die rapidly. Mortality is naturally greater in dense than in open stands, because of the more intense competition. The number of trees killed by competition is large in young stands but gradually decreases as the stand becomes older. The loss of the wood contained in these smaller, overtopped trees is more than offset by the growth of the larger trees remaining, so that the volume of the stand increases fairly rapidly.

Both value and volume of wood increase with age until the stand is mature. The increase in volume is then offset more and more by

increased mortality. The increase in diameter becomes very small, and height growth practically ceases. After a time the losses exceed the growth, and the stand becomes decadent.

YIELDS

Because of the great variations between different sites it is desirable to have some measure of their productivity. Volume would be the




FIGURE 10.—General type of height-diameter curves for typical southern white cedar stands; A, 25 years old; B, 70 years old

most desirable measure if it were not greatly affected by density of stocking. Diameter growth also is influenced by density. The only characteristic which is affected only slightly, if at all, is the height that free-growing or dominant trees attain at given ages. As already shown, height varies only slightly among the trees of larger diameter in a stand, and the height of the average dominant can safely be used as an index of the productivity or quality of a site. Since

height varies with age it is necessary when comparing two or more stands to compare their heights at the same age. An age of 50 years is commonly used as the basis of site classification. This standard age was used in the preparation of yield tables for southern white cedar.

The yield of a stand—that is, the amount of wood produced per acre—depends on the number and volume of the individual trees composing the stand. In well-stocked, even-aged stands the number of trees per acre and the rate of growth depend largely on age and site quality. These factors, therefore, determine the rate at which the number of living trees decreases through competition as the stand develops. The decrease in the number of trees, however, makes more growing space available for the remaining trees and is more than offset by the large size of the survivors. Even when the decrease in number of trees is most rapid, the total basal area⁹ and the volume per acre continue to increase, rapidly on the better sites and slowly on the poorer ones.

Detailed tables giving yields at various ages for well-stocked, even-aged stands of white cedar on six different qualities of site are given in the appendix. (Tables 20 to 39.) These tables show that 50-year-old stands in which the dominant trees attain a height of 60 feet contain an average of 65 cords to the acre, whereas on poor sites that are capable of producing dominant trees only 30 feet tall the yield at the same age is only 10 cords. The yield of stands 100 years old may be as much as 54,200 board feet per acre (based on the international log rule, $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch saw kerf) on good sites producing 60-foot trees in 50 years, or as low as 6,550 board feet on poor sites.

These few examples clearly show that the yield of southern white cedar in even-aged stands, fully stocked as to volume, varies greatly with age and site quality. The size of the trees and the number per acre at a given age vary widely on different sites. Great variations are also evident between the number of trees to the acre in different stands on the same site. These variations are due occasionally to slight differences in stocking and crown closure but usually to the death of trees in the understory. The understories of some stands have a large number of small living trees containing a small volume; others have many dead trees, recently killed by suppression, and but few live trees. Such differences in the subordinate stand, although they change the volume only slightly, cause pronounced variations in the total number of trees to the acre.

The yields given in the appendix are for well-stocked stands which have developed naturally. A mature, well-stocked stand of white cedar forms a dense, unbroken crown cover. The narrow crown, suggestive of many trees to the acre, and the long clear stem are indicative of heavy yields. Where proper methods of forest management are employed and the stands are kept vigorous by thinnings at regular intervals, the total yields are materially increased. Not only are higher yields obtained, but the volume is contained in fewer trees of larger size than in unthinned stands. Timber of higher quality and suitable for a greater variety of uses is thus obtained.

⁹ Basal area is the cross-sectional area of a tree expressed in square feet and usually refers to the section at breast height. The sum of the basal areas of the trees in a stand is the basal area of the stand and is usually expressed in square feet per acre.

The yield tables are useful not only as guides to the present approximate yields of existing well-stocked, even-aged stands but also as a means for predicting the future yields of such stands. They should by no means, however, be used as a substitute for a timber survey when an accurate inventory of growing stock is wanted. Precise future yields can not be predicted, but with the aid of the tables reasonably reliable forecasts of the yields of young second-growth stands can be made. These tables were prepared from measurements of typical, even-aged stands covering a wide range of ages and qualities of site. They are based on, and therefore applicable to, well-stocked stands—that is, those which contain enough trees to utilize all the growing space and produce the maximum volume of wood. Maximum volume production can be attained with either a large number of small trees or a smaller number of large trees. Under the latter conditions maximum board-foot production may become coincident with maximum cubic-volume production. Since the yield tables are based on plots in which the number of trees varies widely, the board-foot yields given in Tables 35 and 39 are probably not the maximum obtainable, though the cubic foot and cord yields may be close to the maximum.

Since the yields given in the tables are for well-stocked stands grown under natural conditions without thinnings or other treatment, the approximate yields of other even-aged stands of white cedar can be computed from these tables if the age, quality of site, and density of stocking are known.

Age is determined by counting the annual rings either on stumps of felled trees or, for standing trees, on cores removed by boring to the center of the bole at about stump height. When the age and height of the dominant trees of average basal area are known, the index of site quality, or site index, can be read from the height-age curves (fig. 17 in the appendix) used as the basis for site classification in the construction of the yield tables.

The total wood volume per acre produced by a stand within a given time is probably the best criterion of density of stocking, but its determination requires detailed measurements and difficult computations. The total basal area per acre is a more easily applied and yet reasonably accurate criterion of the density of stocking. The basal area of the stand, as computed from the diameter at breast height of all trees composing the stand, divided by the basal area given in the yield tables, for the same age and site index, gives the percentage of stocking. Similarly, the yields of overstocked stands may be considered as proportional to the percentage deviation of their total basal areas from those given in the yield tables for well-stocked stands of the same age.

Yield tables for well-stocked stands are less applicable to long-time forecasts of yields from greatly understocked stands because, as previously shown, diameter growth of understocked stands, before crown closure occurs, exceeds that indicated by the yield tables. If used, however, the predictions will probably be conservative. Until the rate of stand closure is determined, by periodic remeasurements of stands of different densities, satisfactory yield predictions can not be made for such stands. Fortunately, however, understocked stands of southern white cedar are much less common than well-stocked or overstocked stands.

MANAGEMENT OF SOUTHERN WHITE CEDAR

The great variety of commercially valuable products derived from southern white cedar makes it a desirable species to grow, and its rapid growth, heavy yields, and ease of reproduction make it peculiarly adapted to forest management. This species, if grown on potential cedar-swamp lands under proper management, will probably produce greater revenues than could be obtained from any other use of such lands.

LAND UTILIZATION

The belief that white cedar swamp lands can be profitably cleared and drained for growing field and truck crops is prevalent in some localities. The peat on these lands, consisting of a reddish-brown stringy mass of cedar leaves, bark, wood, and other vegetable matter, is, however, very poorly decomposed. It contains only a trace of soil, although it is underlain by sand at depths of 2 to 10 or more feet. A sample of peat from Hyde County, N. C., was analyzed by the Bureau of Chemistry and Soils, United States Department of Agriculture, and found to contain 88.8 per cent organic matter (loss on ignition), 0.33 per cent lime, 0.1 per cent potash, and 0.02 per cent phosphoric acid. Only a very small proportion of the nitrogen contained in the peat is available as plant food. The correction of the acidity would require lime at the estimated rate of 13,200 pounds an acre, too much for profitable production of field or truck crops.

It is not surprising that a number of attempts to clear, drain, and farm southern white cedar swamps have been decidedly unsuccessful. When the swamps are cleared and drained the surface peat has a strong tendency to cake and harden beneath the sun's rays, until it resembles charred wood. White cedar land has proved itself practically worthless for the production of crops, save at a heavy financial outlay.

Although a number of cedar swamps in New Jersey and Massachusetts have been converted into cranberry bogs, and cranberry growing usually brings higher net returns from the land than the production of white cedar timber, little increase in the acreage of the crop is to be expected in the near future. The entire area of potential southern white cedar land now in cranberry bogs is estimated at between 15,000 and 20,000 acres, and the cranberry industry is conducted on such an intensive scale that a relatively small acreage is sufficient to meet the demand. According to all available information it is evident that by far the greater part of the potential cedar swamp lands, especially those underlain by sand, can best be used for the continuous production of southern white cedar.

TREATMENT OF STANDS


The main object in managing forest lands is to obtain, in the shortest possible time, a maximum sustained yield of merchantable timber of the sizes most desired. A method of cutting designed to obtain complete natural reproduction is required, together with protection of the stand from fire and other injurious agents.

The age at which the stand should be cut will be determined by the size and kind of material desired and by the financial returns accruing from rotations of different lengths. When the timber has reached a size most suited for utilization it is best to market it and start a new fast-growing timber crop.

METHOD OF CUTTING

Cutting, in forest management, is an intermediate step in the continuous process of timber production. It must be so conducted as to result in ample reproduction. The reproductive characteristics and requirements of a species play a very important part in determining the method of cutting. The essential requirements for the formation of fully stocked stands of southern white cedar are as follows: (1) A sufficient seed supply; (2) an abundance of light; and (3) little competition from other trees or shrubs. These requisites are easily provided. The close utilization practiced allows very clean cuttings, admitting the abundant light needed for reproduction. A large amount of seed is usually available, ready to germinate and establish a seedling stand. Competition of other trees and shrubs is not to be feared if, as will be shown later, fires at the wrong time can be avoided.

Since southern white cedar is very susceptible to wind throw and fire, seed trees are of little value. The few trees that may be left



F161841

FIGURE 11.—The stripwise method of cutting in a southern white cedar logging operation in the Dismal Swamp, Pasquotank Co., N. C. Clear-cutting by strips in this manner affords an excellent opportunity for seeding-in a new timber crop, provided the blocks of uncut timber are allowed to stand until at least one heavy seed crop has fallen

standing on the heavily cut areas, and which might serve as seed trees, are generally blown down soon after cutting.

A very desirable method is to cut the forest clear in strips not more than 1,000 feet wide, leaving uncut strips of green timber between the cuttings. If the strips are located approximately at right angles to the direction of the storm winds, seed from the intervening uncut strips will be effectively distributed over the clear-cut strips. The strips of uncut timber should generally be left intact. This method has the advantage of insuring ample reproduction should the seed present be destroyed or should there be any doubt as to its adequacy.

Although strip cutting is not generally practiced, stands of southern white cedar have occasionally been cut by this method. In New Jersey one owner has cut a strip each year, gradually working over the entire swamp. This not only proved a convenient way to operate but also resulted in well-stocked stands of reproduction on the areas cut. In the Dismal Swamp several operators, who have combined hand logging with railroad transportation, have followed a stripwise

method of cutting, although sufficient time to insure reproduction has not always elapsed between the cutting of adjacent strips. (Fig. 11.) This method of logging involves the location of railroad spurs so that the rollways extend out on both sides of the spur for a distance of 100 to 150 yards. The distance between blocks of uncut timber is 200 to 300 yards, but no part of the cut-over area lies beyond the seeding distance of southern white cedar. By judiciously planning the logging operation it should be possible, without requiring a prohibitive amount of railroad steel, to allow the blocks of uncut timber to the windward side of the cut-over areas to stand until at least one heavy seed crop has been disseminated.

SLASH DISPOSAL AND FIRE PROTECTION

It has already been shown that few cedar seedlings can make their way through slash. Slash burning, therefore, may in many instances be necessary to insure well-stocked stands of reproduction. Burning should be done the first winter following logging, when the swamp is full of water or sufficiently wet to prevent the destruction of the surface peat that commonly contains considerable white cedar seed. Such controlled slash burning will destroy the rank sprout growth of swamp hardwoods, shrubs, and vines which are so distinct a hindrance to cedar reproduction; when they sprout again the cedar by its rapid height growth will overtop them.

If it is impossible to burn the slash under favorable conditions the first winter following logging, areas of slash should be rigidly protected from fire for 5 to 10 years following logging. The shorter period of intensive protection will be required in the South because of the more rapid decay of the slash there than in the North.

Such rigid protection should consist of maintaining on slash-covered areas a protective force sufficient to insure that any fire will ordinarily be discovered and reached within one hour of its occurrence by a crew of men adequate to control it. If logging is in progress, the entire crew should be subject to call to fight fire during all dangerous seasons throughout the period of rigid protection. All engines, skidders, loaders, and other logging equipment burning wood or coal should be equipped with effective spark arresters. During dry periods smoking should be prohibited on all unburned cut-over areas.


CLEANINGS AND THINNINGS

To produce the heaviest yields and best quality of southern white cedar timber in the shortest time, thinnings are necessary. The purpose of thinnings, in addition to some salvage of the many small trees that would otherwise die and rot, is to maintain the stands at the density most favorable for growth of the better trees. The trees remaining after thinning should be close enough together to utilize all the growing space and produce well-formed boles, but not so close as to slow down the growth materially.

Where more than a single thinning is practical the interval can be judged by the rate at which the crowns extend laterally, which varies with the rate of growth of the trees and therefore with site quality and age. Thinnings should leave the stand uniformly opened to an extent which insures that the crowns will not close together to form a continuous crown canopy in less than 8 to 10 years, or the period it would probably take the crowns of dominant trees to close a space of

5 or 6 feet under average conditions. Young, dense, rapid-growing stands on the best sites may need thinning after an interval of 10 years, while older, slow-growing stands on relatively poor sites would not need to be thinned a second time in less than 20 years.

The natural growth of southern white cedar stands is attended by a heavy death rate, caused by intense competition. (Fig. 12.) A well-executed program of thinning will not only prevent loss and stagnation in the stand, but will also promote rapid growth in just enough of the trees to occupy finally the full crown area. Thus a crowded stand containing originally several thousand seedlings to



F163301

FIGURE 12.—A dense, unthinned 35-year-old stand of southern white cedar in Atlantic County, N. J. The stand is becoming stagnant, and there is much loss through death and decay

the acre may be reduced to a few hundred trees at maturity, carefully selected, and of high quality. (Fig. 13.) In this way the volume growth of the entire stand is concentrated and improved in quality. The trees reserved for the final cut will be larger and better formed than those in unthinned stands, and the yield will be considerably increased by the material salvaged in the thinning. Also the rotation, or length of time between successive crops of mature timber, may be shortened.

In addition to thinning, it may sometimes be necessary to rid the stand of undesirable trees, whether of cedar or other species. So far as practicable, young stands should be cleaned or weeded of poorly formed and diseased trees and of trees of less valuable species which might otherwise usurp the growing space and cause the death of

better trees. When the trees removed possess sprouting ability, as the swamp hardwoods, it may be desirable to resort to one or two additional cleanings, made at intervals of about five years. On cut-over areas where the slash is not burned, cleanings will doubtless be very desirable to free the cedar from the competition of the swamp hardwoods. Girdling and poisoning with sodium arsenite are probably the best means of eliminating the larger swamp hardwoods. In poisoning, cuts should be made through the live inner bark to facilitate more uniform distribution of the poison. In girdling, it will be necessary to cut somewhat deeper into the sapwood in order to kill the trees.

In New Jersey, where stands have ordinarily been handled on a 70 to 80 year rotation, the experience of the State forest service has demonstrated the economic advantages of only one moderately heavy thinning during the life of a stand.¹⁰ This thinning, made preferably at the age of 35 to 45 years, removes the overtopped, intermediate, and some of the codominant trees and leaves from 700 to 1,000 trees per acre. It was earlier believed that such a thinning would result in serious damage by wind throw, snow, or ice; but it was found that if the swamps were protected on the windward side, such damage was confined mainly to small trees, which probably should have been removed in the thinning. More than a single thinning is hardly justified here, because of the heavy cost of cutting the underbrush and removing the material cut. This cost must be met from the sale of the trees removed before a profit is realized; thus products must have a high unit price and be available in large numbers per acre. Present results in New Jersey indicate that thinnings in stands 20 to 25 years old are of doubtful value, both financially and in actual benefit to the remaining stand on such sites.

Shade-tree stakes and the other small-sized products available in large numbers per acre and at a high unit price can obviously be removed but once during the rotation. If a later thinning were made, larger-sized material would necessarily have to be cut, and in order to make the thinning profitable, so much growing stock would probably have to be removed as to reduce materially the value of the mature stand. Hence, in a stand managed under a 70 to 80 year rotation, a second thinning has not appeared practical.

The cedar thinnings made from 1921 to 1928 by the New Jersey Forest Service are indicative of the results and profits to be expected where a good market exists for small-sized products. Here profitable thinnings may be made in relatively young stands when the products of thinning are first marketable (*1*). In 1921 a 35-year-old stand of white cedar, near Atsion, N. J., containing 2,656 trees per acre ranging from 1 to 8 inches in diameter, was thinned to 1,592 trees to the acre. From the trees removed in thinning, ranging from 1 to 5 inches in diameter, 1,240 bean and rustic poles were sold, at a net profit of about \$35 per acre. Later observations indicate that this stand could have been thinned to about 800 trees per acre without exposing it to loss by wind throw or snow and ice damage; in fact, it should have been thinned more heavily to get the best results of increased growth.

¹⁰ The writer is indebted to former Associate State Forester W. M. Baker and Assistant Forester A. T. Cottrell, of New Jersey, for the information on thinning southern white cedar in New Jersey.

From 1926 to 1929 a total of 26 acres of southern white cedar was thinned on the Lebanon State Forest, in Burlington County, N. J. Of the thinned area, 15 acres bore a relatively dense stand under 45 years of age and 11 acres supported a stand over 60 years old. The material taken out consisted of 40,000 shade-tree stakes, 42,000 bean and rustic poles, 4,500 arbor poles, 2,000 fence posts, and about 700 telephone poles and logs. These products were sold for \$8,750. The products were removed from the swamp by means of a light push car operated by man power on a light steel track, consisting of 12-pound rails made up in 15-foot portable sections with metal ties. After deducting all costs of labor, tools, hauling 4 to 8 miles to the railroad, and depreciation on tramroad equipment, totaling \$7,800, a net profit of \$36.54 an acre remained. Owing to the relatively long



FIGURE 13.—A part of the stand shown in Figure 12, thinned to provide each of the remaining vigorous, thrifty trees with ample growing space

haul to the railroad and the fact that the swamp area thinned is surrounded by boggy approaches and extensive areas of deep sand, making hauling difficult, the New Jersey officials regard the cost of \$300 an acre as approaching the maximum for cedar thinnings. They believe that under favorable logging conditions this figure can be reduced to \$200 an acre and to about \$250 for average New Jersey conditions on sites producing 40 to 50 foot white cedar in 50 years.

In general, dense stands of southern white cedar should be thinned as early as the material removed can be marketed at a profit, or certainly before the stand has greatly stagnated. Profitable commercial thinnings will probably be restricted to dense, thrifty white cedar stands on sites capable of producing dominant trees 40 feet or more in height in 50 years. Where the stand can be thinned more than once, the best time for the first thinning is during the period of most rapid height growth, which occurs so early that enough mer-

chantable material to pay the cost of the thinning can not often be obtained. In the South, until small-sized material becomes merchantable, thinning can bring in a return only in stands containing larger-sized material. However, an early unremunerative thinning may sometimes be necessary to prevent stagnation and to bring about within the stand conditions favoring rapid growth. Such thinnings will probably pay in the end.

BEST TIME TO HARVEST THE TIMBER CROP

The length of rotation, or the number of years which elapses between the establishment of the forest and the time when it is finally cut, is an important consideration in the management of these cedar swamp lands. The rotation is determined by the age at which the mean annual volume growth or increment per unit of area is greatest; by the time required to grow the material desired, as cordwood, poles, or saw timber; by the highest net revenue to be obtained from the timber; or by the limitations of the species in reproductive capacity or resistance to decay. The time when the greatest mean annual increment occurs is probably the best basis for determining the age at which to cut the stand. The rotation can then be modified in accordance with the kind of material desired and with the financial considerations, such as taxes, cost of administration and protection, stumpage values, and market demands.

In terms of cordwood the greatest mean annual growth of unthinned southern white cedar stands occurs at 50 years on the best sites, at 70 years on average sites, and at 90 to 100 years or more on very poor sites. The greatest mean annual growth of unthinned stands in board feet of lumber takes place on good white cedar sites between 70 and 90 years of age and at well over 100 years on the average and poorer lands. The larger size of saw timber and the very dense stocking of the unthinned natural stands tend to increase the age at which the greatest mean annual volume growth of saw timber occurs. In thinned and well-managed stands this should occur at an earlier age.

The age at which the highest net money return will be obtained, or the financial maturity of the stand, is usually less than the age of the greatest mean annual volume growth, owing to the influence of compound interest in accumulating the carrying charges on the forest property and decreasing the net revenue. The important carrying charges include the interest on the initial investment in value of land and the annual expenditure for taxes, protection, and administration.

With a stumpage price of \$5 a cord, a charge of 10 cents an acre each year for administration and protection compounded annually at 4 per cent, and a yield or severance tax of 10 per cent on the gross yield at time of cutting, the highest soil rental is obtained at about 35 years for the best white cedar land, at 50 years for land of average quality, and at 60 years for poor land. With the same costs but with a stumpage price of \$10 a thousand board feet, the greatest soil rental occurs at 50 years on the best sites, at 65 years on medium sites, and at 80 years on the poor sites.

The increase in stumpage price due to a rapid increase in quality of the larger-sized material that can be grown in carefully managed stands, together with the revenue obtained from thinnings, generally extends the financial rotation based on the greatest soil rent toward the period when the greatest mean annual board-foot increment

occurs, on all except the poor sites. On good white cedar land the saw-timber rotation, as determined by financial considerations, may approximate that based on mean annual increment. When all the important factors are considered, a rotation of 40 to 50 years seems desirable for the production of cordwood and 60 to 80 years for saw timber in well-managed white cedar stands on all but the poorer lands.

FINANCIAL RETURNS

The profit or loss from investments in second-growth southern white cedar depends upon the commercial value of the stand and the total cost of producing the stand with interest compounded annually. The cost of production includes initial expenses and annual carrying charges. Interest on the initial land value is properly chargeable against the cost of timber growing. Since the land remains as an asset when the timber is cut, only the interest on the land value need be calculated as an actual expenditure. The annual expense includes taxes on the land and annual costs of protection and administration, both chargeable as annuities at a specific rate of interest.

The determination of the relation of cost to financial returns is more complicated for timber crops than for other agricultural crops because long rotations involving compound interest must be considered, instead of simple interest for a single year. The computation of financial returns from growing white cedar involves so many variable or speculative elements, such as lumber values, taxes, interest rate, and the influence of accessibility, that figures covering all the variable factors are rarely available. A few examples are given to illustrate the methods of determining financial returns and the extent to which they are influenced by such factors as quality of site, rotation, interest rate, and land value.

In these examples the cost of production, comprising interest on the value of the land and the annual expenses for taxes, administration, and protection, is computed at 5 per cent interest to the end of the rotation. The annual expense for administration and protection is placed at 10 cents an acre. An equitable form of taxation is assumed, consisting of a nominal land tax of 1 per cent of the full value of the bare land alone, payable annually, and a yield or severance tax of 10 per cent of the gross value of the timber when it is cut at the end of the rotation.

Cost of administration and taxes together at 25 cents an acre a year on \$15 land at the end of 50 years amounts to $\$0.25 \left(\frac{1.05^{50} - 1}{1.05 - 1} \right) = \52.34 . The interest on the investment in \$15 land for 50 years is $\$15 (1.05^{50} - 1) = \157.01 . The total cost of production, therefore, is \$209.35. From Table 30 it is seen that the best white cedar land, which will produce 70-foot trees in 50 years, will yield 82.7 cords an acre at this age. With stumpage valued at \$5 a cord the gross returns amount to \$413.50, and after deducting a 10 per cent yield tax a net return of \$372.15 remains. A net profit of \$162.80 an acre is obtained after deducting the total cost of production. With the same cost of administration, taxes, interest rate, and gross returns, a land value of \$10 an acre will increase the net profit to \$267.48, while a land value of \$30.55 an acre will eliminate the net profit. Also on cedar land of poor quality, capable of producing trees 30 feet or less in height

in 50 years, a land value of only \$5 an acre will show no profit for any rotation period.

An increase in the stumpage price is reflected in higher net returns. With a stumpage price of \$7.50 a cord and other expenses as in the foregoing example, the net returns at 50 years become \$348.88 and \$135.61, respectively, for land of good quality valued at \$15 an acre and of medium productivity at \$10 an acre.

A low interest rate tends to increase the net profits from timber growing, and a sufficiently high interest rate will eliminate any profit which might otherwise accrue from the enterprise. With interest computed at 5 per cent compounded annually the profit to be expected in 50 years from white cedar lands of good quality has been shown to be \$162.80. With an interest rate of 4 per cent the profit becomes \$242.38, and with an interest rate of 6 per cent the profit is reduced to \$38.27 on good land. On lands of medium and poor quality 6 per cent interest would result in losses.

Another method of determining financial returns from timber growing is that in which the entire returns are expressed as the actual rate of interest earned upon the investment. This method, which is illustrated in Table 8, is more useful as a comparative measure of the investment than the method in which the returns are divided between interest on the investment and a lump sum realized when the timber is sold. The precise interest rates earned on investments in timber growing were read from a series of graphs for land values of \$2.50, \$5, \$10, \$15, and \$20 an acre, for different rotations and for sites of good, medium, and poor quality. From Table 8, which shows the effect of varying the initial investment in value of the land, it is evident that with ordinary stumpage prices high valuations can not be placed upon the land alone if southern white cedar is to be grown profitably.

A third method of computing financial returns may be used where a portion of the area is cut over each year, as under management intended to provide a sustained annual yield of forest products. With a rotation of 50 years, an area of 50 acres is required to allow cutting 1 acre annually. The investment in such a tract consists of land values, the growing timber of all ages up to 50 years, taxes, administration, and protection costs. The amount of growing stock can be computed from the yield table by totaling the stand on each acre, there being 1 acre in each 1-year age class. A simple approximate method uses five times the value for each 5-year age class. Thus, the 25-year value would be used for the age class 22.5 to 27.5 years, the 30-year value for 27.5 to 32.5 years, and so on, except that for a 50-year rotation the 50-year value would apply to 47.5 to 50 years and should therefore be multiplied, not by 5 but by 2.5.

TABLE 8.—Percentage earnings to be expected from crops of southern white cedar cordwood grown on sites of different quality and value and under different rotations ¹

GOOD SITE—70-FOOT TREES IN 50 YEARS

Age (years)	Earnings on land values of—				
	\$2.50 per acre	\$5 per acre	\$10 per acre	\$15 per acre	\$20 per acre
	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
30.....	13.5	11.2	9.0	7.6	6.8
40.....	11.5	10.0	8.2	7.2	6.5
50.....	9.6	8.3	7.0	6.2	5.6
60.....	8.2	7.2	6.0	5.4	4.9
70.....	7.1	6.2	5.3	4.7	4.3
80.....	6.1	5.4	4.7	4.2	3.8

MEDIUM SITE—50-FOOT TREES IN 50 YEARS

30.....	8.4	6.5	4.6	3.6	2.8
40.....	8.7	7.2	5.6	4.7	4.1
50.....	8.0	6.8	5.5	4.7	4.2
60.....	7.2	6.2	5.1	4.4	4.0
70.....	6.3	5.4	4.5	4.0	3.6
80.....	5.5	4.8	4.1	3.6	3.2

POOR SITE—30-FOOT TREES IN 50 YEARS

40.....	3.4	2.4	1.4	0.8	0.5
50.....	4.8	3.7	2.6	2.0	1.6
60.....	4.8	3.8	2.9	2.4	2.0
70.....	4.4	3.7	2.9	2.4	2.0
80.....	4.1	3.4	2.7	2.3	2.0

¹ Read from a series of graphs. Computations based on annual administration and protection expense of 10 cents an acre, annual land tax of 1 per cent of full land value, and a yield tax of 10 per cent of gross value of timber when cut, and cordwood at \$5 a cord.

With land valued at \$5 an acre and capable of producing 50-foot trees in 50 years, cordwood at \$5 a cord, protection and administration at 10 cents an acre, and a land tax of 1 per cent, the investment in the 50-acre tract is shown in the following tabulation:

Land.....	\$250. 00
Growing stock, 526.5 cords.....	2, 632. 50
Administration and protection.....	5. 00
Land tax.....	2. 50

Investment..... 2, 890. 00

The income is the value of the cut from 1 acre of 50-year-old timber less the yield tax, or

Stumpage, 41.8 cords.....	\$209. 00
Yield tax of 10 per cent.....	20. 90

Income..... 188. 10

The net income of \$188.10 represents an annual return of 6.5 per cent interest on the investment of \$2,890.

ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE, PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS, AND UTILIZATION OF THE WOOD ¹¹

By W. D. BRUSH ¹²

ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

Southern white cedar reaches its best development in swamps where few other important timber trees thrive, and the occurrence of cedar stands gives value to many swamps which otherwise would be practically worthless. The excellent properties of the wood make it of special value for many purposes, principally boats, tanks, planing-mill products and millwork (including siding and finish), and boxes and crates. In addition to its durability, light weight, and ease in working with tools, the very gradual taper of the tree




FIGURE 14.—Southern white cedar shingle bolts stacked in mill yard

makes it valued for poles, dock shores, posts, and stakes. For over a century it has also supplied a local demand for such commodities as shingles and woodenware.


In boat building, one of its most important uses, southern white cedar is preferred for planking especially, but also for deck and cabin construction, for rowboats, lifeboats, motor boats, and yachts. Boat boards bring as much as \$100 a thousand board feet at the saw-mill and \$120 from lumber dealers. Unusually large boards of high quality and clear of defects have sold for as much as \$250 a thousand board feet.

The importance of southern white cedar is thus not dependent upon a large annual output but upon the qualities of the wood which adapt it for special purposes.


Good stands of cedar in New Jersey bring \$150 an acre, and swamp lands bearing heavy stands have been known to sell as high as \$400 to \$800 an acre (10). Similar high prices have been received for the

¹¹ The prices given in this section apply more particularly to the period 1925-1929.

¹² Acknowledgment of indebtedness is made to Eloise Gerry, microscopist, and G. E. Heck, engineer in forest products, Forest Products Laboratory, for their contributions to this part of the bulletin.



A, Cross section of southern white cedar wood magnified 20 diameters. This is about as it would appear under a magnifying glass or hand lens. *ar*, Annual growth ring; *sm*, summer wood; *sp*, spring wood; *f*, fiber or tracheid; *rc*, resin cell; and *r*, ray. B, cross section of southern white cedar wood magnified 50 diameters. *ar*, Annual ring; *sm*, summer wood; *sp*, spring wood; *f*, fiber or tracheid; *rc*, resin cell; and *r*, ray



A, Radial section of southern white cedar wood magnified 50 diameters. *ar*, Annual growth ring; *sm*, summer wood; *sp*, spring wood; *f*, fiber or tracheid; *t*, ray; *rc*, resin cell; *bp*, bordered pits; *rm*, resinous material; *x*, place where cells are spliced together. B, tangential section of southern white cedar wood magnified 50 diameters; *f*, fiber or tracheid; *t*, ray; *rc*, resin cell; *rm*, resinous material; *x*, place where cells are spliced together

better stands of this species in eastern Virginia and North Carolina. The value of the bare land alone has usually been placed at not over \$10 an acre. In Southern New England prices for standing trees suitable for boat boards 12 inches and more in diameter are about \$6 a cord, and logs at the mill bring about \$16 a cord. In Virginia and North Carolina the usual price of cedar stumpage has been \$8 to \$10 a thousand board feet for the past several years. Cedar 8 inches in diameter and less, suitable for posts and rustic poles, brings about \$2 a cord. Because of the intrinsic value of the wood and its growing scarcity these prices for southern white cedar seem likely to increase.

The cut varies greatly from year to year, depending largely on the market. The total in any one year must be estimated from records for lumber, shingles, lath, woodenware, poles, posts, and stakes of various kinds. Totals for some of these uses are not adequately reported, and for those classes for which reports are available the quantities are often combined with data for other species of cedar. Census reports combine all the species of cedar in one group. Also, southern white cedar is largely cut by small mills, many of which the census does not include. So far as possible these difficulties were overcome in the computation of Table 9, where total cut for the entire commercial range, divided into four fairly distinct regions, is expressed both in terms of cubic content of the logs and in number of board feet of lumber that could be sawed from the logs. The board-foot estimate excludes all the material that is too small for the manufacture of lumber.

TABLE 9.—*Estimated total cut of southern white cedar, in lumber equivalent and cubic volume, by regions and principal uses*

Product	Southern New England		New Jersey		Virginia and North Carolina		Area south of North Carolina		Total cut	
	Lumber equivalent	Cubic volume	Lumber equivalent	Cubic volume	Lumber equivalent	Cubic volume	Lumber equivalent	Cubic volume	Lumber equivalent	Cubic volume
	<i>M</i> <i>bd. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>cu. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>bd. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>cu. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>bd. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>cu. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>bd. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>cu. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>bd. ft.</i>	<i>M</i> <i>cu. ft.</i>
Lumber ¹	650	93	700	100	3,500	500	150	21	5,000	714
Shingles ²	(³)	(³)	1,170	390	1,530	510	(³)	(³)	2,700	900
Woodenware.....	0	0	0	0	5,000	714	0	0	5,000	714
Poles ⁴	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)	700	130	2,300	440	3,000	570
Total.....	650	93	1,870	490	10,730	1,854	2,450	461	15,700	2,893

¹ Cubic volume based on 7 board feet to each cubic foot.

² An annual shingle production of 30,000,000 and a general average of 3,000 shingles per cord gives 10,000 cords of shingle bolts, equivalent to 900,000 cubic feet. Probably one-half of these bolts are too small for lumber manufacture and the balance would yield on the average about 6 board feet of lumber to each cubic foot. This gives an equivalent of 2,700,000 board feet.

³ Negligible; no data available.

⁴ The lumber equivalent for the 30,000 southern white cedar poles cut probably averages about 100 board feet per pole; the cubic volume is assumed to be 19 cubic feet per pole

Lumber reported as "cedar" from the localities known to produce little or nothing except southern white cedar was segregated, and to this, as a basis for computation, data on the cut of a large number of plants too small to be included in census statistics were added. Census statistics on shingle production do not distinguish between the various kinds of wood. Practically the same procedure was followed, therefore, as for lumber. Figures on lath were not obtained, as lath is sawed largely from waste and small timber, and the total

is small. Data on the production of woodenware and similar products cut directly from the log and not from lumber were taken from statistics collected by the Forest Service on quantities of different kinds of wood used in manufacture in 1928 and were checked by field data. Bureau of the Census statistics were employed in estimating consumption of southern white cedar poles by railroads and electric-light, telephone, and telegraph companies. Statistics on the production of fence posts and smaller products, such as shade-tree stakes and bean poles, are unobtainable, although these products are important in some sections.

In New Jersey and southern New England, where the stands are comparatively small and scattered and the trees are generally small, there is a very active local demand for cedar products such as shingles, lath, siding, porch lumber, and other building material. Boat boards made on special order are sawed from the largest logs. A few posts and telephone poles are also cut. Large quantities of rustic poles, shade-tree stakes, bean poles, and other products are produced from small trees. Sawmills cutting cedar in this region are small and generally scattered throughout the cedar-swamp areas. Many of these mills are portable and are operated by gasoline engines so that they can be moved more readily from place to place as the merchantable timber becomes exhausted.

In Virginia and North Carolina, where the timber is larger and more plentiful and the stands are usually extensive, a few large mills cut much of the cedar lumber produced. Comparatively large quantities of shingles are produced here, as well as many telegraph, telephone, and electric-light poles.

Farther south in the Atlantic and Gulf coastal region there is a greater demand for cedar poles, for which southern white cedar is utilized almost exclusively. The butts of large trees are occasionally converted into shingles, but it is generally found more profitable to utilize the entire tree for poles whenever possible.

Keen competition for raw material occurs between some of the cedar industries. The pole industry, for example, competes with the manufacture of lumber and shingles, although both products are made from material much of which is too small or otherwise unsuited for pole stock. This competition exists mainly in North Carolina, where there is considerable large-sized cedar suitable for several different kinds of products. The lumber and shingle industries also compete, although much timber used for shingles is too small for lumber manufacture. In some mills shingles only are produced, and material too small for shingle manufacture is cut into lath.

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

STRUCTURE ¹³

The wood of southern white cedar is classed as a softwood both because of its actual softness and because it has the structural characteristics of the softwood group, that is, the wood lacks the pores or vessels, the relatively large sap-conducting cells, which are characteristic of the hardwoods, and it is in this sense termed a non-porous wood. The structure is illustrated in Plate 3, A and B, by photographs of thin sections of the wood as they appear under the microscope.

¹³ Prepared by Eloise Gerry, microscopist, Forest Products Laboratory.

The wood of southern white cedar is light, soft, comparatively weak, fine textured, and usually has an even, straight grain. It has a slightly spicy, aromatic fragrance when freshly cut. When a smoothly cut cross section is examined it is possible to see with the aid of a magnifying glass—or even sometimes without one—concentric rings of resin cells such as are shown at *r c*, Plate 3, A and B. It is largely the volatile material contained in these resin cells which gives the wood its characteristic odor.

The heartwood is light brown tinged with red or pink. It is more pinkish in hue than the wood of northern white cedar or arborvitae (*Thuja occidentalis*). The sapwood is lighter in color (whitish) than the heartwood.

The growth rings (pl. 3, A, *a r*) are moderately wide, considerably wider on an average than those in northern white cedar. The summer wood (pl. 3, A, *sm*) is thin and appears as fairly conspicuous dark lines bounding the growth zones. The spring wood, (pl. 3, A, *sp*) with its larger, thin-walled cells, makes up the greater portion of each year's growth.

The rays (pl. 3, A and B, *r*) which conduct food materials from the inner bark into the wood, are very numerous and fine, being only one cell wide in this species. Resinous material is often stored in the ray cells as well as in the vertical resin cells. This material is shown as dark areas in the rays in Plate 4.

So-called resin ducts or relatively large intercellular spaces containing oleoresin, such as are found in the pines, are lacking in the southern white cedar.

The fibers or tracheids (pl. 3, A and B, *f*) which make up the greater part of the wood are relatively long, narrow cells with somewhat pointed, closed ends. The water and mineral matter from the soil pass up through the sapwood tracheids to the leaves. The manner in which these cells are spliced together is shown at *x*, Plate 4, A and B. The path of the sap from cell to cell is through pits or thin areas in the cell wall. These are shown at *bp* in Plate 4, A. These so-called bordered pits act as minute valves in the cell walls. In the softwoods, such as cedar, the fibers or tracheids serve two purposes, namely, sap conduction and mechanical support. In the hardwoods, on the other hand, there is a division of labor, and the pores or larger cells with open ends are especially adapted for sap conduction and the fibers for mechanical support.

PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES ¹⁴

Woods are classified by the Forest Products Laboratory into 10 grades, according to their physical and mechanical properties. For example, for one property, as strength of a beam or post, a species is described by one of the following terms: (1) Extremely strong, (2) exceedingly strong, (3) very strong, (4) strong, (5) moderately strong, (6) moderately weak, (7) weak, (8) very weak, (9) exceedingly weak, and (10) extremely weak. According to this system of grading, southern white cedar is classified as light in weight, very weak in strength as a beam or post, moderately soft, low in shock-resisting ability, limber, and small in shrinkage.

¹⁴ Prepared by G. E. Heck, engineer, Forest Products Laboratory.

TABLE 10.—*Properties of southern white cedar and various other woods; comparative values and descriptive terms*

Particulars of record		Specific gravity, oven-dry		Weight per cubic foot		Shrinkage		Bending and compressive strength		Hardness		Shock resistance		Stiffness	
		Based on volume when green	Descriptive term	Green	Air-dry	Shrinkage index	Descriptive term	Comparative figure	Descriptive term	Comparative figure	Descriptive term	Comparative figure	Descriptive term	Comparative figure	Descriptive term
Common and botanical names	Locality where grown	Trees													
			<i>Num-</i>	<i>Lbs.</i>	<i>Lbs.</i>										
Southern white cedar (<i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i>).	North Carolina and New Hampshire.	10	ber	26	23	83	S	56	VW	35	MS	51	L	93	L
Northern white cedar (<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>).	Wisconsin.	5		28	22	69	VS	51	VW	30	S	47	L	78	VL
Tamarack (<i>Larix laricina</i>).	do.	5		47	37	128	ML	89	MS	53	MH	85	MH	147	MS
Hemlock (western) (<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>).	Alaska, Oregon, Washington.	18		41	29	120	ML	78	MW	50	MH	73	ML	144	MS
Engelmann spruce (<i>Picea engelmanni</i>).	Colorado.	10		39	23	102	MS	56	VW	32	S	45	L	100	L
Western red cedar (<i>Thuja plicata</i>).	Alaska, Washington, Montana.	15		27	23	76	VS	66	W	38	MS	52	L	108	ML
Douglas fir, coast type (<i>Pseudotsuga taxifolia</i>).	California, Washington, Oregon.	34		38	34	121	ML	97	MS	59	MH	81	MH	181	VS
Douglas fir, mountain type (<i>Pseudotsuga taxifolia</i>).	Montana, Wyoming.	10		35	30	103	MS	79	MW	52	MH	67	ML	142	MS
Longleaf pine (<i>Pinus palustris</i>).	Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, Arkansas and Louisiana.	34		50	41	124	ML	113	S	76	H	103	MH	189	VS
Shortleaf pine (<i>Pinus echinata</i>).	Mississippi, Louisiana, Florida.	12		51	38	128	ML	100	S	68	MH	111	H	170	S
Loblolly pine (<i>Pinus taeda</i>).	Florida.	10		54	38	127	ML	98	MS	62	MH	93	MH	166	S
Bald cypress (<i>Taxodium distichum</i>).	Louisiana and Mississippi.	26		50	32	104	MS	84	MS	52	MH	76	ML	136	MS
Chestnut (<i>Castanea dentata</i>).	Tennessee.	10		55	30	111	ML	68	W	50	MH	69	ML	112	ML

KEY TO TABLE

Index figure	Specific gravity or weight		Shrinkage		Bending and compressive strength	
	Classification limits	Descriptive terms	Classification limits	Descriptive terms	Classification limits	Descriptive terms
1-----	Below 0.20 specific gravity--	Extremely light--	Below 53-----	Extremely small	Below 40-----	Extremely weak.
2-----	From 0.20 to 0.25-----	Exceedingly light--	From 53 to 66-----	Exceedingly small--	From 40 to 50-----	Exceedingly weak.
3-----	From 0.25 to 0.30-----	Very light-----	From 66 to 80-----	Very small-----	From 50 to 60-----	Very weak.
4-----	From 0.30 to 0.36-----	Light-----	From 80 to 95-----	Small-----	From 60 to 72-----	Weak.
5-----	From 0.36 to 0.42-----	Moderately light--	From 95 to 111-----	Moderately small--	From 72 to 84-----	Moderately weak.
6-----	From 0.42 to 0.50-----	Moderately heavy--	From 111 to 132-----	Moderately large--	From 84 to 100-----	Moderately strong.
7-----	From 0.50 to 0.60-----	Heavy-----	From 132 to 139-----	Large-----	From 100 to 120-----	Strong.
8-----	From 0.60 to 0.72-----	Very heavy-----	From 139 to 191-----	Very large-----	From 120 to 144-----	Very strong.
9-----	From 0.72 to 0.86-----	Exceedingly heavy--	From 191 to 228-----	Exceedingly large--	From 144 to 172-----	Exceedingly strong.
10-----	Above 0.86 to specific gravity--	Extremely heavy--	Above 228-----	Extremely large--	Above 172-----	Extremely strong.

Index figure	Hardness		Shock resistance		Stiffness	
	Classification limits	Descriptive terms	Classification limits	Descriptive terms	Classification limits	Descriptive terms
1-----	Below 7.7-----	Extremely soft--	Below 18-----	Extremely low--	Below 60-----	Extremely limber.
2-----	From 7.7 to 13.5-----	Exceedingly soft--	From 18 to 28-----	Exceedingly low--	From 60 to 75-----	Exceedingly limber.
3-----	From 13.5 to 21-----	Very soft-----	From 28 to 40-----	Very low-----	From 75 to 90-----	Very limber.
4-----	From 21 to 34-----	Soft-----	From 40 to 58-----	Low-----	From 90 to 108-----	Limber.
5-----	From 34 to 50-----	Moderately soft--	From 58 to 79-----	Moderately low--	From 108 to 126-----	Moderately limber.
6-----	From 50 to 76-----	Moderately hard--	From 79 to 111-----	Moderately high--	From 126 to 150-----	Moderately stiff.
7-----	From 76 to 120-----	Hard-----	From 111 to 160-----	High-----	From 150 to 180-----	Stiff.
8-----	From 120 to 190-----	Very hard-----	From 160 to 230-----	Very high-----	From 180 to 216-----	Very stiff.
9-----	From 190 to 295-----	Exceedingly hard--	From 230 to 329-----	Exceedingly high--	From 216 to 258-----	Exceedingly stiff.
10-----	Above 295-----	Extremely hard--	Above 329-----	Extremely high--	Above 258-----	Extremely stiff.

¹ Kiln-dry weight may be computed at 0.5 pound per cubic foot less than the air-dry weight.

Table 10 gives comparative strength values and descriptive terms for southern white cedar and a few other species generally used for similar purposes. These comparative values were determined from a large number of tests on each species and are a combination of several kinds of tests. Each of the comparative values given in the table is a weighted average of several values derived from different kinds of strength tests. For instance, strength as a beam or post is a combination of values derived from tests in static bending, impact bending, and compression parallel to grain.

Compared on the basis of the grouping in Table 10, southern and northern white cedar are about equal in weight, shock-resisting ability, and shrinkage. Southern white cedar has about 10 per cent greater strength as a beam or post, is about 17 per cent harder, and has 19 per cent greater stiffness than northern white cedar. It is lower in all its properties than chestnut, but in comparison with western red cedar has about the same weight, hardness, and shock-resisting ability, 18 per cent less strength as a beam or post, 17 per cent less stiffness, and 9 per cent more shrinkage.

The wood is usually straight grained, splits very easily, and is readily worked with ordinary woodworking appliances.

Table 11 gives average strength values for green and air-seasoned southern white cedar, which are comparable to data on other species given by Newlin and Wilson (6).

TABLE 11.—Average physical and mechanical properties of green and air-seasoned southern white cedar, based on tests of small, clear specimens 2 by 2 inches in cross section

Physical or mechanical property	Green	Air-dry
Trees, basis.....number..	10	10
Rings per inch.....do.....	16	16
Moisture content.....per cent..	35	13
Specific gravity based on oven-dry weight:		
Volume as tested.....	.31	.32
Volume when oven dry.....	.35	
Shrinkage from green to oven-dry condition:		
In volume (percentage of dimensions when green).....per cent..	8.4	
Radial (percentage of dimensions when green).....do.....	2.8	
Tangential (percentage of dimensions when green).....do.....	5.2	
Static bending:		
Fiber stress at elastic limit.....pounds per square inch..	2,500	4,600
Modulus of rupture.....do.....	4,700	6,600
Modulus of elasticity.....1,000 pounds per square inch..	750	930
Work in bending:		
To elastic limit.....inch-pounds per cubic inch..	.51	1.34
To maximum load.....do.....	5.9	4.1
Impact bending:		
Fiber stress at elastic limit.....pounds per square inch..	6,000	7,400
Work in bending to elastic limit.....inch-pounds per cubic inch..	2.1	2.8
Height of drop causing complete failure, 50-pound hammer.....inches..	18	14
Compression parallel to grain:		
Fiber stress at elastic limit.....pounds per square inch..	1,700	2,940
Maximum crushing strength.....do.....	2,390	4,520
Compression perpendicular to grain: Fiber stress at elastic limit.....do.....	300	580
Shearing strength parallel to grain.....do.....	690	800
Tension perpendicular to grain.....do.....	180	230
Hardness, load required to embed a 0.444-inch ball to one-half its diameter:		
End.....pounds..	400	530
Side.....do.....	290	350

DURABILITY ¹⁵

Southern white cedar is very durable when used in contact with the soil or under other conditions favorable to decay. In this respect it compares favorably with dense southern yellow pine, dense Douglas

¹⁵ Prepared by G. E. Heck.

fir, white pine, tamarack, and white oak. It is considered more durable than hemlock, the spruces, yellow poplar, loblolly pine, lodgepole pine, gum (sweet, black, and tupelo), the true firs, and basswood; it is probably somewhat less durable than southern cypress, redwood, chestnut, and most of the other cedars. Individual timbers of the same species may differ considerably in their durability, according to the amount of heartwood and sapwood they contain and their condition when placed in service. The heartwood is so durable that logs buried deep in the swamps for 50 years or longer furnish excellent lumber. More than a quarter century ago the mining of southern white cedar logs buried in the swamps was a profitable industry in New Jersey.

UTILIZATION

LUMBER

As already stated, southern white cedar lumber is manufactured under a variety of conditions, usually by small mills. Although there are no commonly accepted grades for logs, two classes sometimes are specified: No. 1, which allows small knots only; and No. 2, which is low grade and contains many defects. Southern white cedar lumber is characterized by many defects, particularly knots and wind-shakes. Log-run lumber (mill culls out) brings about \$50 a thousand board feet wholesale.

The most important sawmill products are planing-mill products and millwork, tank stock, and boat boards. Some boxes and crates and fencing, including fence pickets, are also made.

The annual cut of 5,000,000 feet of southern white cedar lumber is utilized about as follows:

	Thousand board feet
Planing-mill products and millwork.....	3, 700
Tanks.....	600
Boat boards.....	500
Boxes and crates.....	100
Fencing.....	100
Total.....	5, 000

Siding is often in good local demand and forms an important product sawed from southern white cedar logs. Three grades are usually specified—No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3, or cull. No. 1 is designated as sound and permits small, sound knots; No. 2 has somewhat larger knots and some wane; No. 3, or cull, is very defective. No. 1 grade brings \$50 to \$60 a thousand feet at the mill for boards 6 inches wide; No. 2 grade, 6 inches wide, sells for about \$30 at the mill; and the cull commands about \$18. Stock 5 inches wide is generally priced \$5 to \$10 less a thousand feet than 6-inch material, and 4-inch widths bring proportionately less. Prices for siding delivered are about \$10 a thousand more than at the mill. Other kinds of finish, such as general millwork and material for porches, are produced in considerable quantities. Porch lumber is sound, tight-knotted stock and is sold for about \$70 a thousand feet for 5 and 6 inch widths. It may sometimes be purchased for as low as \$60 at the sawmill.

Flooring is sometimes manufactured of southern white cedar. This stock usually measures 1 by 6 inches by 8 feet and is surfaced on only one side. Small, tight knots up to three-fourths inch in diameter are allowed. A good grade of flooring may sell for as much as \$100 a thousand board feet.

Considerable quantities of southern white cedar are used in the manufacture of water tanks, because of the lightness and durability of the wood. Tank stock is $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 inches thick—usually 2, $2\frac{1}{2}$, and 3 inches—and is produced from large-sized timber. Tank stock must be water-tight, but there is no objection to sound knots. It brings about \$60 or \$65 a thousand board feet.

Boat boards are manufactured as round-edge lumber and are sawed with the "sweep" of the log, so that the boards are curved and can thus be adapted to any desired form for small boats. They must usually be at least 6 inches wide at the narrow end, and usual thicknesses are $\frac{4}{4}$, $\frac{5}{4}$, and $\frac{6}{4}$ inch; in southern New England, however, $\frac{5}{8}$ of an inch is a common thickness. In North Carolina there are generally two grades of boat boards: No. 1, called clear stock, permits as many as three tight knots $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches in diameter in a 16-foot board; No. 2, called knotted stock, permits any number of sound, tight knots but no other defects. The knots must not be so large as to impair the strength of the board. Loose and unsound knots must run at right angles to the surface of the board so that they can be bored out and plugged. In New England and New Jersey, boat boards are sawed by small mills, generally on special order only. They are the highest class of stock, and there is but one commonly accepted grade, in which only small tight knots are permitted. This grade usually brings about \$100 a thousand board feet but sometimes sells for as low as \$80 a thousand. Stock of more than usual width and length may bring considerably more. No. 2 grade, where accepted, generally sells for about \$70. Decking for boats is sound, tight-knotted stock. It is 1 to 2 inches thick and sells for about \$60 a thousand board feet.

Southern white cedar is valuable for the construction of small boats. During the World War 2,500,000 board feet of "white cedar," probably all of which was of this species, was used by the United States Navy in boat building.

On account of its light weight, involving low transportation costs, cedar lumber is also in demand for boxes and crates, especially in localities where vegetables and small fruits are grown in large quantities. Such fruit and vegetable crates are built up of narrow strips or slats, and thus small and defective cedar timber can be used to advantage in their manufacture. Crate slats are mostly about 16 inches long and bring about \$2.75 for 100 pieces. The price paid for the crates, however, varies greatly from year to year, depending on the condition of the crops and the available supplies of other crate material.

Southern white cedar is used in a small way for fencing. In the form of boards it is usually sold as No. 1 fencing. This is low-grade stock, equivalent to the No. 2 common grade of ordinary lumber, and sells for about \$30 a thousand board feet. Pickets for woven-wire fencing, made from waste in lath manufacture, are one-half inch thick, 2 inches wide, and 4 feet long, and generally sell for \$12 to \$15 a thousand pieces.

Other occasional uses for southern white cedar lumber include ferry decking, pattern stock for foundries, and the manufacture of coffins and caskets. Lumber 2 inches thick is sometimes used in the manufacture of built-up decoys.

SHINGLES

For shingles, light weight and durability are the qualities which give southern white cedar a comparatively high value. Bolts for the manufacture of shingles should be at least $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter at the small end. The length of bolt varies with the length of the finished shingle. In North Carolina, where the usual shingle length is 20 inches, bolts are 5 feet 2 inches in length. (Fig. 14.) Bolts $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches and more in diameter are slabbed on two opposite sides; those under $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches on only one side. They are then sawed off square at the ends and cut into three lengths of 20 inches each. These short lengths go to the shingle saw, after which the round-edge shingles are squared by sawing. They are packed in bundles of 50, 25 on each side. Other common shingle lengths are 18 and 24 inches, and less common lengths are 16 and 22 inches. Shingle widths are $3\frac{3}{4}$, 4, 5, and 6 inches.

Table 12 gives the yield of southern white cedar shingles from bolts of different sizes. The output of shingles per cord of logs varies at different mills, depending on the size of shingles and method of utilization. In New Jersey, where the 4 by 24 inch shingle is considered the standard size, a yield of 2,200 to 2,500 shingles per cord of 128 cubic feet is reported. For different-sized shingles the yield is as follows: 4 by 16, 3,700 to 3,800; 4 by 18, 3,500; 4 by 24, 2,300.

TABLE 12.—*Number of shingles obtained from southern white cedar bolts of different sizes*¹

Top diameter of bolt inside bark (inches)	Size of shingles (inches)	Average number of shingles sawed	Top diameter of bolt inside bark (inches)	Size of shingles (inches)	Average number of shingles sawed
$4\frac{1}{2}$ to $4\frac{3}{4}$ -----	4 by 24-----	9	$5\frac{1}{2}$ to 6-----	5 by 20-----	14
$4\frac{3}{4}$ to 5-----	4 by 24-----	10	6 to $6\frac{1}{2}$ -----	5 by 20-----	15
5 to $5\frac{1}{4}$ -----	4 by 24-----	11	$6\frac{1}{2}$ to 7-----	5 by 20-----	16
$5\frac{1}{4}$ to $5\frac{1}{2}$ -----	4 by 24-----	12			

¹ Data supplied by W. M. Baker, former associate State forester of New Jersey.

In North Carolina a general average of 3,000 shingles 20 inches long in all widths from $3\frac{3}{4}$ to 6 inches is obtained from each cord of logs and bolts. The number of cubic feet per 1,000 shingles has been calculated as follows for different sizes: $3\frac{3}{4}$ by 20 inch, 12.75; 4 by 20 inch, 15; 5 by 20 inch, 19; 6 by 20 inch, 22.6. The 4-inch and 5-inch widths are most common, and each cord must therefore produce 45 to 57 cubic feet of shingles. Since each cord contains between 80 and 90 cubic feet of solid wood, the waste, including saw kerf, amounts to 30 to 50 per cent. Some of this waste in the form of slabs is utilized in the manufacture of lath.

Grades and specifications for southern white cedar shingles vary greatly in different regions. In the North the grades are generally designated a No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3, or cull. The No. 1 grade is practically all heartwood and sound, only small tight knots being accepted; No. 2 is sound and allows sapwood; No. 3, or cull, is defective and allows wane or bark on the edges.

In the North Carolina region the common grades in use are as follows: No. 1, A, Star, No. 2, and cull. No. 1 is a heart grade and permits one sound knot in the "point" of the shingle only. The A

grade is clear of defects and allows sapwood. The Star grade allows small sound knots and sapwood. No. 2 allows larger knots, and cull permits many defects, including wane. Singles $3\frac{3}{8}$ inches wide are not separated into grades but are sold as "log run" at about \$4.50 a thousand. The prices of the different grades and sizes vary greatly, as shown in Table 13.

TABLE 13.—*Prices per thousand for 20-inch shingles f. o. b. cars in carload lots, North Carolina*

Width (inches)	No. 1	A	Star	No. 2	Cull
4.....	\$9.00	\$7.50	\$7.00	\$6.00	\$2.50
5.....	12.00	10.00	9.00	8.00	3.00
6.....	18.00	14.00	13.00	9.50	3.50

An average cedar-shingle mill in New Jersey will saw about 10,000 to 12,000 shingles a day, or 1,500,000 a year. Some mills find it more advantageous to use gasoline power. A mill operated by a gasoline engine will cost about \$3,000, as compared to \$5,000 for a steam mill. The steam mill uses waste for fuel but is so much more difficult to move that for less than a 10-year operation the gasoline plant is considered more practical.

Another North Carolina schedule of prices and grades, specifying 4 by 18 inch shingles, in dollars per thousand at the mill, is as follows:

No. 1 (heart clear).....	\$5. 25
No. 2 (heart knotty).....	4. 25
No. 3 (sap clear and knotty).....	3. 25

The New Jersey wholesale prices per thousand at the mill for 20-inch shingles 4 inches wide are as follows:

No. 1 (clear, all heart).....	\$10-\$12
No. 2 (clear, sap).....	\$8-\$10
Cull (bark edges, and other defects).....	About \$4

Prices delivered usually range from 50 cents to \$2 higher, depending largely on the distance to which the mills deliver. Some mills cut 24-inch shingles. These sell for as much as \$18 for No. 1, \$12 for No. 2, and \$5 for cull at the mill. Some mills dispose of their product entirely at the plant and avoid the expense of hauling and loading on cars.

The best grade of 16-inch shingles in southern New England brings \$6 or \$7 a thousand.

Some mills find it profitable to edge their cull shingles, which raises the grade but produces a narrower shingle. One man can edge about 5,000 shingles a day.

LATH

Southern white cedar is suitable for lath because it can be nailed easily and is not likely to warp. Lath is sawed from slabs and material too small for shingle manufacture. Lath bolts or "rails" are used down to a top diameter of 2 or $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches. They are 12 feet long and are sawed twice into 4-foot lengths. Two grades of lath are usually specified: No. 1, which is sound, square edged, and free from any knots that impair the strength, and No. 2, or cull, which has many defects, including knots, knot holes, bark, and wane.

Manufacturers report a yield of about 2,200 lath to each cord of lath rails. On the basis of 70 cubic feet of solid wood to the cord, this gives about 50 per cent waste in the manufacture of lath. Table 14 shows the number of lath sawed from bolts of different sizes.

TABLE 14.—*Lath obtained from southern white cedar bolts of different sizes*¹

Top diameter of bolt (inches)	Lath cut		Top diameter of bolt (inches)	Lath cut	
	Range	Average		Range	Average
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Number</i>		<i>Number</i>	<i>Number</i>
2 to 2¼	2-4	3	3¼ to 3½	5-12	9
2¼ to 2½	2-5	4	3½ to 3¾	7-14	11
2½ to 2¾	4-7	5	3¾ to 4	8-16	12
2¾ to 3	5-10	7	4 to 4½	14-23	17
3 to 3¼	5-10	8			

¹ Data supplied by W. M. Baker, former associate State forester of New Jersey.

The price for No. 1 runs from \$5 to \$8 a thousand at the mill or f. o. b. cars, and of No. 2 from \$2 to \$4. Delivered prices are usually \$1 or \$2 a thousand higher.

WOODENWARE

Use of southern white cedar for woodenware is confined mainly to the manufacture of tubs and pails of various kinds. Logs for this purpose are generally cut in 6 to 8 foot lengths. The stock is usually manufactured directly from the log because more can be got out of the log than from the lumber. Hollow logs and logs with decayed centers, which would yield practically no lumber, may cut a considerable quantity of tub or pail stock. Logs are sawed into short bolts from which staves are cut by a cylindrical stave saw, and bottom pieces are cut from lumber sawed from similar bolts. The staves are given a slight tongue and groove along the sides and are grooved on the inside near the lower edge to receive the bottom. For ice-cream packing tubs, which must be light in weight and durable, large quantities of southern white cedar are used. To withstand rough handling these tubs are made with a double bottom, the lower piece flush with the lower end of the staves. Common washtubs, tubs for washing machines, and churns and pails are also made of this wood.

POLES, SHORES, AND SPARS

Southern white cedar is in great demand for poles (mainly telephone and electric light) because the timber is straight, tapers slowly, is free of limbs for the greater part of its length, and is light in weight and durable. Since it is not so strong as many other pole woods, such as longleaf pine and chestnut, it is not so suitable for long poles where sleet storms are prevalent, particularly where the poles must carry a large number of wires. The southeastern limit of the sleet region coincides roughly with the main line of the Southern Railway running southwest from Washington, D. C., to Atlanta, Ga., and marks the northern limits of the more general use of southern white cedar for poles. There is, however, an appreciable demand for southern white cedar poles in the North even as far as the Great Lakes region, where northern white cedar pole timber has become scarce. They are purchased principally by the smaller municipalities for carrying electric-light wires.

A large number of southern white cedar pole operations are found in the South Atlantic States. After the trees are felled, trimmed, and peeled, they are pulled out of the swamps to higher ground and loaded on wagons or trucks. Stumpage is bought by the linear foot, the rate depending on the length of pole. The rate generally runs about as follows:

Length in feet	Price per linear foot
25-----	2 cents.
30 to 35-----	3 cents.
40 to 50-----	4 cents.
60 to 65-----	5½ cents.

The average price paid for felling, trimming, and peeling is about 1½ cents a linear foot. The cost, in the woods, of the average-sized pole (35 feet long to a 7-inch top) is therefore about \$1.60. Its cost at the loading point is about \$4.50. It is sold to the wholesaler or jobber for about \$7.50. The freight on this pole at a 42-cent rate, which is a representative rate to points in Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois, amounts to about \$2.50, making the total cost to the northern wholesaler about \$10. The pole would retail for about \$15.

The larger pole producers frequently purchase poles from small operators. Table 15 gives representative prices paid for different-sized poles loaded on cars. These prices represent an average increase of about 40 per cent from 1920 to 1925.

TABLE 15.—Average prices paid by large pole producers for southern white cedar poles loaded on cars, 1925¹

Length (feet)	Top diam- eter	Circumfer- ence 6 feet from base	Price	Length (feet)	Top diam- eter	Circumfer- ence 6 feet from base	Price
	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Dollars</i>		<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
25-----	6	28	1.40	35-----	8	40	5.70
25-----	7	28	1.90	40-----	7	42	6.40
25-----	7	31	2.40	45-----	7	44	7.40
30-----	6	31	2.60	50-----	7	48	8.50
30-----	7	34	3.60	55-----	7	52	9.90
35-----	6	34	3.90	60-----	7	57	12.50
35-----	7	37	4.90				

¹ 10 per cent less is paid for poles alongside cars ready for loading.

Freight costs on poles vary greatly with the size of pole and distance shipped. For instance, at a 25-cent freight rate the cost of shipping a 6-inch 25-foot pole is 63 cents, and at a 50-cent rate the freight on a 60-foot pole is \$11 or \$12. Average weights are given in Table 16.

TABLE 16.—Average weight of southern white cedar poles of different diameters at small end of pole

Length (feet)	Diam- eter, 5 inches	Diam- eter, 6 inches	Diam- eter, 7 inches	Diam- eter, 8 inches	Length (feet)	Diam- eter, 5 inches	Diam- eter, 6 inches	Diam- eter, 7 inches	Diam- eter, 8 inches
	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>		<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>
18-----	125	155	200		45-----		900	1,100	1,350
20-----	130	190	250	350	50-----		1,150	1,350	1,700
25-----	200	250	350	450	55-----		1,300	1,700	2,200
30-----	275	350	450	600	60-----			2,200	2,500
35-----		450	600	850	65-----			2,500	3,000
40-----		625	850	1,100	70-----			3,000	

The number of poles that can be loaded on a car is about as follows: 7-inch 30-foot poles, 100; 7-inch 35-foot poles, 75 to 80; 8-inch 35-foot poles, 65; 7-inch 40-foot poles, 65 to 70; 8-inch 40-foot poles, 60; 8-inch 50-foot poles, 50; 8-inch 60-foot poles, 40. (Fig. 15.) All poles over 40 feet long require two cars for shipment.

An increasing preference is being shown for treated southern white cedar poles. In this preservative treatment the butt of the pole, which is in contact with the soil and therefore most subject to decay, is placed in hot creosote for several hours, and then in a similar bath of cold creosote (3). It is claimed that a $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch penetration is obtained by this hot and cold bath open-tank treatment. A firm




FIGURE 15.—Loading southern white cedar poles for shipment

F60923

purchasing southern white cedar poles in large quantities and operating its own treating plant finds that the preservative treatment costs about one-half the price of the untreated pole and claims that the life of the pole is generally at least doubled by proper treatment.

During the past few years there has been a decrease in the demand for southern white cedar poles owing to the large use of treated southern pine poles.

Southern white cedar poles are used by the United States Navy Department as dock shores for shoring up boats when undergoing repairs in dry dock. These poles must be straight, sound, and free from shakes, split tops or butts, spiral growth, and large or unsound knots. Bark must be left on unless otherwise specified.¹⁶ The sizes specified are shown in Table 17.

¹⁶ Copies of Navy Department specifications may be obtained from the Bureau of Supplies and Accounts, Navy Department, Washington, D. C.

TABLE 17.—*Specified sizes and maximum curvature allowed for southern white cedar poles used as dock shores*

Length (feet)	Butt diameter		Top diameter		Maximum curvature allowed in total length
	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	
	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Inches</i>
Under 24.....	6	9	4	6	1
24 to 30.....	8	11	5	7	2
30 to 40.....	10	14	7	9	2.5
40 to 50.....	12	16	8	11	3
50 to 60.....	14	18	9	12	3
60 to 76.....	15	20	9	13	3.5
75 and longer.....	17	24	10	14	4

Because of its lightness and durability the wood is valued highly for spar buoys. These spars are generally 25 to 50 feet long and about 12 inches in diameter at the butt and 8 inches at the top. Logs selected for this purpose because of their straightness and uniform taper are trimmed to the required dimensions in the woods, generally from timber of larger sizes.



F163305

FIGURE 16.—Bean poles and grape stakes cut from small trees and tops of southern white cedar. (Great Swamp, Atlantic County, N. J.)

SMALL POLES, POSTS, AND STAKES ¹⁷

A market for small-growth southern white cedar will often make it possible to dispose of thinnings at a profit or at least at prices sufficient to pay most, if not all, of the costs of the operation. Thinnings suitable for special purposes, such as nursery poles, grape stakes, and arbor poles (fig. 16), will bring the best prices, but it may often be possible to dispose of less desirable material for such purposes as bean poles or rustic poles at a good-enough price to cover the cost of production—that is to say, the cost of thinning, hauling, and loading.

Fence posts are sometimes cut from southern white cedar. The usual dimensions are 4 to 7 inches in diameter at the top and 7 or 8

¹⁷ Prices given were furnished by the department of conservation and development, division of forests and parks, State of New Jersey.

feet in length. They may be cut from the tops of trees used for poles or from small trees where shingle manufacture is not carried on. Seven-foot posts with a 5 to 6 inch top usually bring from 25 to 40 cents each, delivered. They sometimes retail for as much as 60 cents.

Table 18 gives approximate specifications, costs, and prices for small poles, posts, and stakes, estimated from a number of small operations in different localities. The cost and price may, of course, vary considerably under different conditions of production and sale. Table 19 gives the weights of unpeeled poles, stakes, and posts of different sizes.

TABLE 18.—*Approximate specifications, costs, and prices received for thinnings in southern white cedar*

Product	Length	Top diameter	Cost each	Price, ¹ each, f. o. b.	Special requirements
	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Cents</i>	<i>Cents</i>	
Bean poles.....	8-10	1 -2	² 4	4 -4.5	Butts sharpened.
Nursery poles.....	8-10	1.5-2	4	5.5-6.5	Do.
Rustic poles.....	8-12	1 -4	6	8	Fresh-cut from live trees only.
Shade-tree stakes.....	8-10	2 -3	³ 6	12 -20	Straight and clear of defects; tops sawed, butts sharpened.
Arbor poles and grape stakes....	10	3 -5	6	25 -40	Tops sawed, butts sawed or chopped.
Dye poles.....	12	⁴ 2 -3	4	10 -12	
Oyster stakes.....	12	⁴ 2 -3	4	10 -12	Tops left on.

¹ Including hauling and loading.

² Estimated at 1 cent for stumpage, 1.5 cents for cutting, and 1.5 cents for hauling and loading.

³ Estimated at 1 cent for stumpage, 3 cents for cutting, and 2 cents for hauling over fair roads where the distance is not too great.

⁴ Diameter at butt.

TABLE 19.—*Weight of unpeeled southern white cedar poles, stakes, and posts*¹

Product	Top diameter	Length	Approximate weight per 100 poles	
			Fresh-cut	Seasoned
	<i>Inches</i>	<i>Feet</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>
Bean poles.....	1 -2	8	600	450
Do.....	1 -2	10	750	550
Nursery poles.....	1.5-2	8	750	550
Do.....	1.5-2	10	950	650
Rustic poles.....	1 -4	8-12	2,000	1,450
Shade-tree stakes.....	2 -2.5	8	1,200	800
Do.....	2 -2.5	10	1,500	1,100
Do.....	2.5-3	8	1,800	1,400
Do.....	2.5-3	10	2,200	1,700
Arbor poles and grape stakes....	3 -4	10	3,500	2,700
Do.....	4 -5	10	5,500	4,200
Fence posts.....	4 -5	7	3,800	2,900
Do.....	4 -5	7	5,500	4,200
Do.....	6 -7	8	9,800	7,400

¹ Information furnished by the department of conservation and development, division of forests and parks, State of New Jersey.

OTHER USES

Southern white cedar is suitable for cross arms because of its light weight and durability. These are cut from clear, straight logs 4.5 to 8 inches in top diameter and 13 feet long, to make 6-foot cross arms.

Where cedar mills are located in or close to towns, slabs sold for fuel frequently bring from \$4 to \$8 a cord. The heating value of cedar is low, however, as compared with that of such woods as oak and beech. About 2 cords of cedar are required to equal the fuel value of 1 ton of coal.

Excelsior is a by-product of the cedar-shingle industry. It consists of the curled fibers which are produced by the shingle saw. This material is separated from the sawdust with a fork and pressed into bales weighing about 40 pounds each. These bales sell for 75 cents to \$1 each where there is a demand for the material for packing glassware, china, and other fragile articles.

APPENDIX

YIELD TABLES ¹

Tables 20 to 39 include the yield tables for southern white cedar and other tables accessory to them and necessary for their proper application. The yield tables proper, Tables 25, 30, 35, and 39, show, in various units of measurement, the volume of wood which an acre of well-stocked cedar will yield at various ages. For a discussion of their general application see pages 23 to 25. The yield tables were prepared by the alinement-chart method (9). Average height of the dominant and codominant trees at the standard age of 50 years was used as the basis for site classification. (Fig. 17.) The tables for the total stand include all living trees 1 inch and larger in diameter at breast height.

The data given in Tables 21 to 39, inclusive, are shown in compact graphical form in a single system of alinement charts (Fig. 18), from which the tables were read. For ordinary purposes the tables are sufficient, but in more accurate work, where values must be interpolated for odd ages and to the nearest foot of site index, the alinement charts may be used. Such charts are read by passing a straight line through a known value on each of two axes and reading the unknown value at its intersection with the third. They obviate the labor and inaccuracies of arithmetic interpolation, since values can be read from the charts for any age or site index within their limits. The compactness of this form of expression makes the alinement-chart yield table of practical value in field use.

To obtain readings for—	Hold age on—	Hold site index on—	Read—	Multiply by—
A. Site classification hold age on B, height of average dominant on X, read site index on B'.				
B. Height of average dominant.....	B	B'	X	-----
C. Entire stand:				
1. Volume, total, less bark, cubic feet per acre.....	C	C'	X	100
2. Basal area, square feet per acre.....	D	D'	X	10
3. Number of trees per acre.....	E	E'	X	100
4. Average d. b. h., inches.....	F	F'	X'	-----
5. Tree basal area, square feet.....	F	F'	X	0.01
D. Partial stand: For any of the 5 factors listed under C determine its entire stand value first. Also determine average d. b. h. (C-4 above). Pass a line through this average d. b. h. (on X') and the point R.				
1. For the stand 5 inches plus—				
Read average d. b. h. on.....	L			
Read per cent number of trees on.....	M			
Read per cent volume (cubic feet) on.....	N			
Read cubic feet per cord on.....	O			
2. For the stand 8 inches plus—				
Read average d. b. h. on.....	K			
Read per cent number of trees on.....	J			
Read board feet per cubic feet on.....	I			
3. For the dominant stand—				
Read average d. b. h. on.....	H			
Read per cent number of trees on.....	G			

Multiply the entire stand volume by the percentages and ratios read, holding the entire-stand value on A, the percentage or ratio on A', reading the partial-stand value on X, pointing off as with a slide rule. Similarly, bark volume of the entire stand can be obtained from P.

NOTES.—The cubic feet per cord values (O) represent the ratio of cubic feet (entire stem, less bark) to cords (to 3-inch top d. i. b.).

The board feet per cubic foot values (I) represent the ratio

$$\frac{\text{Board feet stand 8 inches plus}}{\text{Total cubic feet entire stand}}$$

¹ The following yield tables were prepared by L. H. Reineke and C. F. Korstian from field data collected by the Appalachian Forest Experiment Station in cooperation with the State foresters of North Carolina, Virginia, and New Jersey.




FIGURE 17.—Height-growth classification for second-growth southern white cedar. (These curves were used as the basis for site classification in the preparation of the yield tables)




FIGURE 18.—Alignment-chart yield table for second-growth southern white cedar

TABLE 20.—*Distribution by age and site-quality classes of the 47 well-stocked, even-aged plots upon which the yield tables are based*^{1 2}

Age (years)	Site index ³						
	20	30	40	50	60	70	Total
	Number of plots						
20.....					1		1
30.....			3			2	5
40.....			1	1			2
50.....		2	3	5			10
60.....	2		1	1	1		5
70.....			1	3	16	1	21
80.....				2			2
90.....				1			1
Total.....	2	2	9	13	18	3	47

¹ Deviations of individual plots from yield tables are as follows:

Measurement	Aggregate deviation	Average percentage deviation
	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Basal area.....	-0.49	±7.0
Number of trees.....	+0.38	±26.6
Volume, cubic feet.....	-0.23	±7.9
Volume, by international (1/8-inch) rule.....	-1.13	±35.2

² A total of 63 plots was measured from Florida (1 plot) to Massachusetts (3 plots). The 47 well-stocked plots mathematically selected for yield-table construction are distributed as follows: North Carolina, 11; Virginia, 21; New Jersey, 13; Connecticut, 2.³ Site index is the height attained in 50 years by dominant trees of average basal area.TABLE 21.—*Total height of southern white cedar trees in the dominant stand*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Total height—feet					
20.....	10.2	15.5	20.8	25.9	31.0	36.4
25.....	12.5	18.7	25.1	31.2	37.6	43.9
30.....	14.4	21.5	28.8	35.8	43.2	50.4
35.....	16.0	24.0	32.2	40.0	48.2	56.2
40.....	17.4	26.3	35.0	43.7	52.6	61.3
45.....	18.8	28.2	37.7	47.0	56.5	65.9
50.....	20.0	30.0	40.0	50.0	60.0	70.0
55.....	21.1	31.5	42.2	52.7	63.2	73.8
60.....	22.0	33.0	44.1	55.2	66.2	77.2
65.....	22.8	34.2	45.8	57.3	68.7	80.2
70.....	23.6	35.5	47.4	59.2	71.1	83.0
75.....	24.3	36.5	48.8	61.0	73.2	85.5
80.....	25.0	37.5	50.1	62.6	75.2	87.7
85.....	25.5	38.4	51.2	64.0	77.0	89.8
90.....	26.0	39.2	52.3	65.4	78.6	91.7
95.....	26.5	40.1	53.3	66.6	80.0	93.3
100.....	27.0	41.5	54.2	67.7	81.3	94.9

¹ The values in this table were read from the height-age curves in fig. 17. The 50-year values are site indices.

TABLE 22.—Average diameter breast high of all southern white cedar trees 1 inch and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes ¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Diameter breast high—_inches					
20.....	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.8	2.3	2.9
25.....	1.2	1.5	1.9	2.4	3.1	3.9
30.....	1.5	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.9	5.0
35.....	1.8	2.3	2.8	3.6	4.7	6.0
40.....	2.1	2.7	3.3	4.2	5.5	7.0
45.....	2.4	3.0	3.8	4.8	6.3	8.0
50.....	2.7	3.4	4.3	5.4	7.1	9.0
55.....	3.0	3.7	4.7	6.0	7.8	10.0
60.....	3.2	4.0	5.1	6.5	8.5	10.8
65.....	3.5	4.3	5.5	6.9	9.0	11.6
70.....	3.7	4.6	5.8	7.3	9.5	12.2
75.....	3.9	4.9	6.1	7.7	10.0	12.9
80.....	4.1	5.1	6.4	8.0	10.6	13.5
85.....	4.3	5.4	6.7	8.4	11.1	14.1
90.....	4.5	5.6	7.0	8.7	11.5	14.7
95.....	4.6	5.8	7.2	9.1	12.0	15.3
100.....	4.7	6.0	7.4	9.4	12.4	15.8

¹ Derived from total basal area per acre (Table 24) and total number of trees per acre (Table 23).

TABLE 23.—Total number of southern white cedar trees per acre 1 inch and more in diameter breast high, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Number of trees per acre					
20.....	18,000	14,700	10,800	7,400	4,600	2,800
25.....	13,000	10,500	7,600	5,100	3,300	2,000
30.....	9,600	7,600	5,600	3,850	2,400	1,450
35.....	7,400	5,800	4,500	2,950	1,860	1,120
40.....	5,800	4,500	3,400	2,300	1,440	870
45.....	4,600	3,700	2,700	1,900	1,170	720
50.....	3,900	3,100	2,250	1,550	970	580
55.....	3,350	2,600	1,950	1,330	830	500
60.....	2,900	2,300	1,700	1,170	740	435
65.....	2,550	2,050	1,500	1,050	660	380
70.....	2,300	1,850	1,350	940	580	350
75.....	2,150	1,700	1,250	860	540	330
80.....	1,980	1,550	1,150	790	500	300
85.....	1,850	1,450	1,075	740	460	280
90.....	1,750	1,350	1,000	700	430	260
95.....	1,650	1,270	950	660	420	250
100.....	1,550	1,200	900	620	385	230

TABLE 24.—*Basal area per acre of all southern white cedar trees 1 inch and more in diameter breast high, by age and site-quality classes*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Basal area—square feet per acre					
20.....	83	108	126	140	148	151
25.....	100	129	150	167	175	180
30.....	113	146	170	188	198	203
35.....	124	159	185	205	217	223
40.....	134	170	200	220	232	240
45.....	141	180	210	233	245	254
50.....	148	188	220	243	256	267
55.....	152	194	228	252	265	275
60.....	156	198	234	259	272	283
65.....	159	202	239	264	279	290
70.....	162	206	242	269	284	295
75.....	164	209	246	274	289	300
80.....	166	213	250	279	294	304
85.....	168	216	253	281	297	308
90.....	169	219	256	284	300	311
95.....	170	221	259	287	302	314
100.....	172	223	261	290	304	317

¹ By basal area is meant the sum of the cross-sectional areas in square feet of all trees on an average acre measured at breast height. Since it is computed from the diameters at breast height it includes both wood and bark. Basal area has been found relatively insensitive to variations in stocking.

TABLE 25.—*Yield of well-stocked even-aged stands of southern white cedar in cubic feet of peeled wood per acre, by age and site-quality classes*

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Volume of peeled wood ¹ —cubic feet per acre					
20.....	420	820	1,200	1,600	2,050	2,400
25.....	600	1,170	1,700	2,300	2,850	3,400
30.....	780	1,500	2,200	2,950	3,650	4,300
35.....	930	1,800	2,650	3,550	4,350	5,200
40.....	1,080	2,100	3,100	4,050	5,050	6,050
45.....	1,230	2,350	3,500	4,550	5,750	6,850
50.....	1,370	2,600	3,850	5,050	6,400	7,600
55.....	1,500	2,850	4,150	5,550	7,000	8,300
60.....	1,600	3,100	4,450	6,000	7,500	8,900
65.....	1,700	3,300	4,700	6,400	7,900	9,450
70.....	1,800	3,450	4,950	6,700	8,300	10,000
75.....	1,900	3,600	5,200	7,000	8,650	10,500
80.....	1,950	3,750	5,400	7,250	9,000	10,900
85.....	2,000	3,850	5,600	7,500	9,300	11,200
90.....	2,050	3,950	5,800	7,700	9,600	11,500
95.....	2,100	4,050	5,900	7,900	9,900	11,800
100.....	2,150	4,100	6,000	8,050	10,100	12,000

¹ Volume of entire stem without bark, including stump and top.

TABLE 26.—*Total bark volume in percentage of total peeled volume for all southern white cedar trees 1 inch and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Bark volume—percentage of total peeled volume					
20.....	27.8	27.2	26.5	25.5	24.2	23.1
25.....	27.1	26.2	25.3	24.2	22.5	21.2
30.....	26.2	25.3	24.2	22.8	21.2	19.8
35.....	25.5	24.2	23.0	21.7	20.2	18.7
40.....	24.7	23.3	22.1	20.8	19.3	17.9
45.....	23.9	22.6	21.3	20.0	18.4	17.2
50.....	23.2	21.9	20.7	19.4	17.8	16.6
55.....	22.7	21.5	20.2	18.8	17.3	16.0
60.....	22.3	21.0	19.7	18.3	16.8	15.6
65.....	21.9	20.7	19.3	18.0	16.5	15.2
70.....	21.5	20.3	18.9	17.7	16.2	14.9
75.....	21.2	19.9	18.7	17.4	16.0	14.6
80.....	20.9	19.7	18.4	17.2	15.7	14.4
85.....	20.7	19.4	18.2	16.9	15.4	14.2
90.....	20.4	19.2	18.0	16.7	15.2	13.9
95.....	20.3	18.9	17.8	16.5	15.0	13.7
100.....	20.2	18.8	17.6	16.3	14.8	13.5

¹ This table gives bark volume of entire stem, including stump and top, in percentage of the peeled volume of the entire stem.

TABLE 27.—*Average diameter breast high of all southern white cedar trees 5 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes*

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Diameter breast high—inches					
20.....					4.6	5.0
25.....				4.7	5.0	5.6
30.....			4.7	5.0	5.4	6.2
35.....		4.6	4.9	5.3	5.9	6.9
40.....		4.8	5.2	5.7	6.4	7.7
45.....	4.7	5.0	5.4	6.0	6.9	8.5
50.....	4.8	5.2	5.7	6.3	7.5	9.4
55.....	4.9	5.4	5.9	6.7	8.0	10.2
60.....	5.0	5.5	6.1	7.0	8.6	11.0
65.....	5.1	5.7	6.3	7.4	9.1	11.8
70.....	5.2	5.8	6.6	7.7	9.6	12.5
75.....	5.3	6.0	6.8	8.0	10.1	13.1
80.....	5.4	6.1	7.0	8.3	10.6	13.8
85.....	5.4	6.3	7.2	8.6	11.0	14.3
90.....	5.5	6.4	7.4	8.9	11.4	14.9
95.....	5.5	6.5	7.6	9.2	11.8	15.4
100.....	5.6	6.7	7.8	9.5	12.1	15.9

TABLE 28.—*Total number per acre of southern white cedar trees 5 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes*

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Number of trees per acre					
20.....					58	213
25.....				95	330	553
30.....			97	308	654	768
35.....		63	215	575	834	784
40.....		185	442	759	900	705
45.....	80	295	648	892	866	634
50.....	161	465	788	946	795	545
55.....	259	572	876	931	740	478
60.....	355	666	935	906	663	427
65.....	446	725	938	842	612	381
70.....	506	803	910	802	555	343
75.....	559	821	888	762	516	320
80.....	620	850	862	725	477	292
85.....	670	857	838	684	446	277
90.....	702	864	810	642	419	258
95.....	726	853	788	614	397	243
100.....	735	822	762	584	378	229

TABLE 29.—*Basal area per acre of all southern white cedar trees 5 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Basal area—square feet per acre					
20.....					6.7	29.0
25.....				11.4	44.4	94.5
30.....			11.6	41.9	104.0	160.0
35.....		7.2	28.1	88.2	158.4	201.4
40.....		23.3	65.2	134.2	199.5	229.0
45.....	9.6	40.1	104.0	174.8	226.1	248.2
50.....	20.3	68.1	139.7	206.6	245.2	263.8
55.....	33.9	90.2	166.4	227.6	258.1	273.4
60.....	48.0	109.9	189.5	241.9	267.1	281.6
65.....	63.3	128.3	205.5	251.1	275.7	289.1
70.....	75.3	145.2	214.9	259.0	281.4	294.4
75.....	86.1	160.9	223.9	266.1	287.3	299.4
80.....	96.8	172.5	232.2	272.6	292.5	303.7
85.....	106.7	183.0	238.6	275.7	295.8	307.7
90.....	115.8	190.5	244.2	279.7	298.8	310.7
95.....	121.0	196.2	248.6	283.8	301.1	313.7
100.....	125.6	201.4	252.1	287.1	303.4	316.7

¹ Basal area is measured at breast height.

TABLE 30.—Yield of well-stocked even-aged stands of southern white cedar trees 5 inches and more in diameter, in cords per acre, by age and site-quality classes ¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Yield of wood and bark—cords per acre					
20					1.4	5.9
25				2.8	8.9	18.7
30			2.4	8.9	19.2	33.2
35		1.2	6.7	16.0	31.0	48.0
40		3.9	11.8	24.0	43.5	61.8
45	1.0	6.9	17.5	32.7	55.3	73.2
50	2.6	10.2	23.6	41.8	65.1	82.7
55	4.1	13.9	29.4	50.5	73.0	90.7
60	5.6	17.3	34.9	58.1	79.5	97.5
65	7.1	20.6	40.0	64.4	85.2	103.8
70	8.5	23.7	44.6	69.5	90.2	109.5
75	9.8	26.7	48.8	73.8	94.5	114.4
80	11.0	29.5	52.8	77.4	98.5	118.7
85	12.2	32.2	56.1	80.5	101.9	122.4
90	13.3	34.4	59.1	83.2	105.0	125.6
95	14.3	36.5	61.6	85.6	108.0	128.7
100	15.1	38.2	63.8	87.8	110.7	131.5

¹ Volume includes stem and bark between 1-foot stump and an inside bark top diameter of 4 inches.

TABLE 31.—Cubic feet of solid wood per stacked cord of wood with bark for all trees 5 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Cubic feet of solid wood per cord with bark					
20					73.5	74.8
25				73.8	75.2	76.7
30			73.8	75.0	76.7	78.3
35		73.5	74.6	76.2	77.8	79.7
40		74.7	75.6	77.2	79.1	80.8
45	73.8	75.0	76.6	78.0	80.1	81.7
50	74.7	75.8	77.3	78.9	80.9	82.7
55	75.0	76.4	77.8	79.7	81.7	83.4
60	75.4	76.8	78.5	80.3	82.3	84.0
65	76.0	77.3	79.1	80.7	82.7	84.4
70	76.4	77.7	79.4	81.2	83.1	84.7
75	76.7	78.2	79.8	81.5	83.4	85.2
80	77.0	78.5	80.2	81.8	83.8	85.4
85	77.3	78.9	80.5	82.2	84.2	85.7
90	77.6	79.2	80.8	82.4	84.3	86.0
95	77.7	79.4	81.0	82.8	84.6	86.2
100	77.8	79.7	81.3	83.0	84.8	86.5

TABLE 32.—Average diameter breast high of all southern white cedar trees 8 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Diameter breast high—inches					
25						7.7
30					7.7	8.1
35				7.6	7.9	8.5
40				7.7	8.1	9.0
45			7.6	7.8	8.4	9.6
50			7.6	8.0	8.8	10.3
55		7.6	7.7	8.2	9.2	11.1
60		7.6	7.8	8.4	9.6	11.8
65	7.6	7.7	8.0	8.7	10.1	12.4
70	7.6	7.7	8.1	9.0	10.5	13.0
75	7.6	7.8	8.3	9.3	10.9	13.5
80	7.6	7.9	8.4	9.6	11.3	14.0
85	7.7	7.9	8.6	9.8	11.6	14.6
90	7.7	8.0	8.7	9.9	12.0	15.1
95	7.7	8.0	8.9	10.1	12.3	15.6
100	7.7	8.1	9.0	10.3	12.6	16.1

TABLE 33.—Total number per acre of southern white cedar trees 8 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Number of trees per acre					
25						12
30					13	87
35				6	65	203
40				27	165	310
45			11	83	269	374
50			34	162	359	388
55		8	71	246	407	370
60		17	119	310	430	349
65	4	31	168	352	422	331
70	7	54	213	382	414	308
75	11	85	250	403	404	294
80	16	112	288	411	390	278
85	25	147	322	422	382	263
90	38	169	353	430	366	248
95	45	200	365	436	354	234
100	54	223	378	436	343	223

TABLE 34.—*Basal area per acre of all southern white cedar trees 8 inches and more in diameter, by age and site-quality classes*

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Basal area—square feet per acre					
25.....						3.8
30.....					4.2	31.3
35.....				2.0	21.9	79.8
40.....				8.0	58.9	136.8
45.....			3.6	27.5	103.6	187.2
50.....			10.8	56.4	151.0	224.5
55.....		2.5	23.0	90.2	187.9	248.3
60.....		5.3	39.8	120.7	216.2	264.6
65.....	1.3	9.9	58.0	145.2	234.6	277.8
70.....	2.1	17.5	76.2	168.9	249.1	285.9
75.....	3.4	28.2	93.5	190.4	262.0	294.0
80.....	5.1	38.0	111.2	205.6	272.8	298.5
85.....	8.2	50.0	128.5	220.3	280.7	304.0
90.....	12.2	59.6	145.9	231.2	286.5	307.9
95.....	14.4	69.6	158.0	244.0	291.7	311.2
100.....	17.4	79.8	168.1	252.3	295.5	314.5

TABLE 35.—*Yield of well-stocked even-aged stands of southern white cedar trees 8 inches and more in diameter in board feet, international ($\frac{1}{8}$ -inch) log rule, by age and site-quality classes*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Yield—board feet per acre					
20.....						120
25.....					255	1,000
30.....				205	1,060	3,440
35.....			105	710	2,660	8,320
40.....		40	405	1,540	5,910	15,300
45.....		165	910	3,000	10,700	23,000
50.....	25	350	1,620	5,500	16,600	30,300
55.....	105	650	2,530	8,800	22,300	37,000
60.....	200	1,000	3,900	12,100	27,500	42,900
65.....	305	1,400	5,450	15,300	31,900	48,200
70.....	420	1,950	7,050	18,400	35,700	53,100
75.....	545	2,550	8,750	21,500	39,300	57,300
80.....	685	3,250	10,500	24,300	42,700	60,800
85.....	840	4,050	12,300	27,000	46,000	63,900
90.....	1,000	4,850	14,100	29,500	49,000	66,700
95.....	1,170	5,700	15,900	32,000	51,700	69,300
100.....	1,350	6,550	17,800	34,400	54,200	71,500

¹ Stump height 1 foot; top diameter inside bark 6 inches; for $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch saw kerf, deduct 9.5 per cent.

TABLE 36.—Average diameter breast high of all southern white cedar trees in the dominant stand, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Diameter breast high—inches					
20.....	1.6	1.5	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.7
25.....	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.2	3.9	4.9
30.....	2.4	2.5	3.1	3.9	4.9	6.1
35.....	2.4	3.0	3.7	4.6	5.8	7.2
40.....	2.8	3.4	4.2	5.2	6.6	8.4
45.....	3.1	3.9	4.8	5.9	7.4	9.5
50.....	3.4	4.3	5.2	6.5	8.2	10.5
55.....	3.7	4.6	5.7	7.0	8.8	11.5
60.....	4.0	5.0	6.1	7.5	9.5	12.4
65.....	4.3	5.3	6.5	8.0	10.2	13.2
70.....	4.5	5.6	6.9	8.5	10.7	14.0
75.....	4.7	5.8	7.2	8.9	11.3	14.8
80.....	4.9	6.1	7.5	9.3	11.8	15.5
85.....	5.1	6.3	7.8	9.6	12.3	16.1
90.....	5.3	6.6	8.0	10.0	12.7	16.7
95.....	5.4	6.8	8.3	10.3	13.2	17.3
100.....	5.6	7.0	8.5	10.6	13.6	17.9

TABLE 37.—Total number of southern white cedar trees per acre in the dominant stand, by age and site-quality classes

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Number of trees per acre					
20.....	6,500	4,750	3,340	2,270	1,530	1,060
25.....	5,290	4,410	3,300	2,250	1,600	1,060
30.....	3,860	3,140	2,400	1,730	1,160	783
35.....	3,030	2,470	1,880	1,370	923	626
40.....	2,350	2,060	1,570	1,130	770	502
45.....	1,970	1,660	1,300	956	655	420
50.....	1,780	1,440	1,160	823	563	365
55.....	1,550	1,300	994	749	510	317
60.....	1,360	1,120	902	674	451	281
65.....	1,200	1,020	820	604	407	256
70.....	1,130	938	734	549	377	232
75.....	1,040	876	685	510	342	213
80.....	980	815	646	481	322	197
85.....	925	788	610	457	300	186
90.....	858	720	589	428	285	174
95.....	837	685	553	409	267	164
100.....	790	660	530	388	254	155

TABLE 38.—*Basal area per acre of all southern white cedar trees in the dominant stand, by age and site-quality classes*

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Basal area—square feet per acre					
20.....		79.6	93.5	104.7	111.3	114.6
25.....	74.1	96.1	112.4	125.8	133.2	138.6
30.....	84.2	109.4	128.0	142.9	152.5	158.7
35.....	92.8	119.6	140.2	157.2	169.0	177.1
40.....	100.5	130.0	152.6	170.1	183.0	192.7
45.....	106.2	136.8	161.5	181.7	195.5	206.8
50.....	112.0	143.8	170.3	191.5	206.1	219.5
55.....	115.5	149.0	177.6	200.1	215.2	228.2
60.....	118.9	152.7	183.2	206.9	222.8	236.0
65.....	121.8	156.3	188.6	211.7	229.3	243.3
70.....	124.4	160.3	191.7	217.1	235.2	247.8
75.....	126.3	163.2	195.6	222.2	239.9	252.9
80.....	128.2	166.8	199.5	227.1	244.9	257.9
85.....	130.0	170.2	202.7	229.9	248.6	261.5
90.....	131.3	173.2	205.6	232.9	251.4	264.4
95.....	132.3	175.0	208.8	236.2	253.7	267.2
100.....	134.0	177.1	211.1	239.8	255.7	270.1

TABLE 39.—*Yield of the dominant stand of fully stocked southern white cedar in board feet, international ($\frac{1}{8}$ -inch) log rule, by age and site-quality classes*¹

Age (years)	Site index					
	20	30	40	50	60	70
	Yield—board feet per acre					
20.....						95
25.....					200	930
30.....				145	985	3,440
35.....			55	640	2,700	8,480
40.....		20	340	1,540	5,960	15,200
45.....		120	805	3,050	10,800	22,500
50.....	15	340	1,620	5,500	16,600	28,900
55.....	75	600	2,580	8,960	21,900	35,000
60.....	145	960	3,870	12,500	26,600	40,000
65.....	255	1,380	5,540	15,500	30,000	44,500
70.....	380	1,960	7,180	18,500	33,400	48,500
75.....	515	2,630	9,000	21,400	36,500	52,300
80.....	665	3,260	10,700	23,600	39,800	55,400
85.....	840	4,240	12,600	26,200	42,600	57,900
90.....	1,060	5,020	14,600	28,100	44,900	60,400
95.....	1,200	5,870	15,800	30,400	47,500	62,500
100.....	1,340	6,680	17,000	32,000	49,300	64,200

¹ Stump height, 1 foot; top diameter inside of bark, 6 inches; for $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch saw kerf, deduct 9.5 per cent.

THE SOUTHERN WHITE CEDAR ALIGNMENT-CHART STAND TABLE

For solving many of the problems of forest management, a yield table is insufficient unless it be accompanied by an adequate stand table. When maximum or minimum size of tree enters into calculations, as it does when dealing with piece products, or establishing a cutting limit, the yield-table values of average diameter growth and number of trees are inadequate; the number of trees in each diameter class or group of diameter classes must also be known. A stand table gives such information.

An alignment-chart stand table for southern white cedar is presented in Figure 19. The known values are the average diameter of the stand (taken from the yield table) and the diameter limits which are involved in the problem. For instance, if the average diameter of the stand is 15 inches and the number of

trees in and above the 20-inch d. b. h. class is desired, a straight line is passed through 19.5 inches on the diameter limit scale (since the 20-inch class includes trees above 19.5 inches) and 15 inches on the average diameter scale, reading 10 per cent on the number-of-trees scale. Since the number is expressed in per-




FIGURE 19.—Alignment-chart stand table for second-growth southern white cedar

centage of the total number, it must be multiplied by the total number as read from the yield table.

If the number of trees in any one d.b.h. class is desired, two readings will be necessary, for the upper and lower limits of the class, the difference between them being the desired result. The number in the 20-inch class will thus be the difference between the readings for its limits, 19.5 and 20.5 inches. These readings are 10 per cent and 6 per cent, respectively; therefore 4 per cent of the total number of trees are in the 20-inch class.

VOLUME TABLES²

The volume tables for southern white cedar which follow (Tables 40 to 44) indicate the average volume in cubic feet, cords, and board feet of trees having the total heights and breast-high diameters given. Tables 40, 41, and 44 are particularly useful in determining the merchantable contents of trees. Tables 42 and 43, which give the total volumes of the entire tree, stump, stem, and top, peeled and unpeeled, are intended primarily for use in scientific studies where a measure of the entire wood volume of the tree is desired. Tables 45, 46, and 47 supplement the regular volume tables.

The field and office methods used in the preparation of the volume tables are substantially those recommended as standard by a joint committee from the Society of American Foresters, the Association of State Foresters, and the United States Forest Service (2). Since the use of the international log rule ($\frac{1}{8}$ -inch saw kerf) has been recommended by this joint committee as standard for second-growth board-foot yield tables, it is used in the present study. This log rule is preferable to other rules because it is fundamentally sound in derivation and indicates more closely than any other the amount of material which can actually be sawed from logs of different sizes. For these reasons it is far superior to the Doyle rule, in common use in many localities. The yields of well-stocked stands shown in Table 24, which were determined by the international log rule, would have been from 40 to 70 per cent less had they been computed by means of the Doyle rule, because this rule greatly understates the amount of material contained in small trees. The greatest difference in yield as measured by the Doyle and international rules is naturally found in dense stands composed of many small trees to the acre, such as occur on the poorer sites.

TABLE 40.—*Merchantable volume in board feet of southern white cedar of different diameters and heights scaled by the international log rule, $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch kerf^a*

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height of tree—feet							Basis (trees)
	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
	Volume—board feet							
8.....	15	25	30	40	45	55	-----	46
9.....	25	35	45	55	65	80	-----	1
10.....	30	45	60	75	90	105	-----	47
11.....	40	60	75	95	115	135	-----	8
12.....	50	75	95	120	140	165	-----	40
13.....	65	90	115	140	170	200	-----	26
14.....	75	110	140	170	200	235	-----	4
15.....	-----	130	165	200	235	275	-----	1
16.....	-----	150	190	230	270	315	355	22
17.....	-----	170	220	265	310	355	405	2
18.....	-----	195	250	300	350	405	455	11
19.....	-----	-----	280	340	395	455	510	1
20.....	-----	-----	320	380	445	510	570	10
21.....	-----	-----	355	425	495	565	635	6
22.....	-----	-----	395	475	550	625	700	2
23.....	-----	-----	-----	520	605	690	775	-----
24.....	-----	-----	-----	565	660	750	850	-----
Basis.....	4	39	36	76	45	23	4	227

^a Top diameter, 6 inches; stump height, 1 foot; compiled by frustum form factor method. Aggregate check: Table 0.5 per cent below basic data. Basic trees: Virginia and North Carolina, 165; New Jersey, 40; Florida, 22. Block indicates extent of basic data.

² These tables were prepared by R. M. Brown from field data collected by C. F. Korstian in cooperation with the State foresters of North Carolina, Virginia, and New Jersey.

TABLE 41.—*Merchantable peeled volume, in cubic feet, of southern white cedar of different diameters and heights*¹

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height of tree—feet									Basis (trees)	Factor 2 to 6- inch top
	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
	Peeled volume—cubic feet										
5	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.6						12	
6	1.3	1.9	2.5	3.2						46	
7		3	4	5	6	7				4	
8		4	5	7	8	9				51	0.687
9		5	7	9	10	12	14			1	.835
10		6	8	11	13	15	17			47	.890
11			10	13	16	18	21			8	.920
12			12	15	19	21	25	28	31	40	.939
13			14	18	22	25	29	32	36	26	.952
14			16	21	25	29	33	37	42	4	.962
15				24	28	33	38	42	48	1	.969
16				27	32	38	43	48	54	22	.975
17				31	36	42	48	54	61	2	.980
18				34	41	48	54	61	68	11	.984
19				38	45	53	60	68	76	1	.987
20				42	50	58	67	75	84	10	.990
21					55	65	74	83	93	6	.992
22					61	71	81	91	101	2	.993
23					67	78	89	99	110		.993
24					73	85	96	108	120		.994
Basis		12	21	74	38	77	45	23	4	294	

¹ Top diameter, 4 inches inside bark; stump height, 1 foot; compiled by form factor method, total cubic volume used as base. Aggregate check: Table 0.8 per cent below basic data. Basic trees: Virginia, North Carolina, 193; New Jersey, 79; Florida, 22. Block indicates extent of basic data.

² To convert to a 6-inch top diameter limit inside bark use factor in this column.

TABLE 42.—*Total peeled volume, in cubic feet, of southern white cedar of different diameters and heights*¹

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height—feet										Basis (trees)
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
	Total peeled volume—cubic feet										
1	0.03	0.07									21
2	.11	.21	0.32								25
3	.22	.45	.67	0.9							20
4		.78	1.2	1.6	2						36
5		1.2	1.8	2.4	3						25
6		1.7	2.6	3.5	4						30
7			3.5	5	6	7	8				24
8			5	6	8	9	11				30
9			6	8	10	11	13	15			24
10			7	9	12	14	16	19			26
11				11	14	17	20	22			28
12				13	16	20	23	26	30	33	26
13				15	19	23	27	31	34	38	21
14				18	22	26	31	35	40	44	11
15					25	30	35	40	45	50	9
16					28	34	40	46	51	57	13
17					32	38	45	51	57	64	5
18					36	43	50	57	64	71	6
19					40	47	55	63	71	79	9
20					44		52	61	70	79	8
21						58	67	77	87	96	3
22						63	74	84	95	105	3
23						69	80	92	103	114	
24						75	87	99	112	124	
Basis	20	33	42	44	77	38	77	45	25	4	405

¹ Volume includes stump, stem, and top. Block indicates extent of basic data. Basic trees: North Carolina, Virginia, 248; New Jersey, 135; Florida, 22. Compiled by form factor method. Average percentage deviation of tree volumes from table, 13.8 per cent. Aggregate deviation, tabular volume, 0.03 per cent low.

TABLE 43.—*Total unpeeled volume, in cubic feet, of southern white cedar of different diameters and heights¹*

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height—feet										Basis (trees)
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
	Total unpeeled volume—cubic feet										
1	0.05	0.10									21
2	.15	.29	0.44								25
3	.29	.59	.88	1.2							30
4		1.00	1.5	2.0	2.5						36
5		1.52	2.3	3.0	3.8						25
6		2.14	3.2	4.3	5.4	7					30
7			4.3	6	7	9	10				24
8			6	7	9	11	13				30
9			7	9	11	14	16	18			24
10			8	11	14	17	19	22			26
11				13	17	20	23	27			28
12				16	19	23	27	31	35	39	26
13				18	22	27	31	36	40	45	21
14				21	26	31	36	41	46	51	11
15					29	35	41	47	52	58	9
16					33	39	46	52	59	66	13
17					36	44	51	58	66	73	5
18					41	49	57	65	73	81	6
19					45	54	63	72	81	90	9
20					50	59	69	79	89	99	8
21						65	76	87	98	108	3
22						71	83	95	107	119	3
23						77	90	103	116	129	
24						84	98	112	126	140	2
Basis	20	33	42	44	77	38	77	45	25	4	405

¹ Volume includes stump, stem, top, and bark. Block indicates extent of basic data. Basic trees: North Carolina, Virginia, 248; New Jersey, 135; Florida, 22. Compiled by form factor method. Average percentage deviation of tree volumes from table, 12.5 per cent. Aggregate deviation, tabular volume, 1.07 per cent low.

TABLE 44.—*Merchantable unpeeled volume, in standard cords, of southern white cedar of different diameters and heights¹*

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height of tree—feet									Converting factor—		Bark (per cent)	Basis (trees)
	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	To a 6-inch top	To- tal ³		
	Volume—standard cords ²												
5	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02							2.38	20	12
6	.02	.03	.04	.04							1.27	19	46
7		.04	.05	.06	0.08	0.09					1.25	18	4
8		.05	.07	.08	.10	.12				0.687	1.22	17	51
9		.06	.08	.10	.13	.15	0.18			.835	1.18	16	1
10		.07	.10	.13	.15	.18	.21			.890	1.15	16	47
11			.12	.15	.18	.22	.25			.920	1.13	15	8
12			.14	.18	.22	.26	.29	0.33	0.37	.939	1.10	15	40
13			.17	.21	.25	.30	.34	.38	.43	.952	1.08	14	26
14			.19	.24	.29	.34	.39	.43	.48	.962	1.07	14	4
15			.27	.33	.38	.44	.49	.54	.59	.969	1.06	14	1
16			.31	.37	.43	.49	.55	.61	.67	.975	1.06	13	22
17				.34	.41	.48	.55	.61	.68	.980	1.05	13	2
18				.38	.46	.53	.61	.68	.76	.984	1.05	13	11
19				.42	.50	.59	.67	.76	.84	.987	1.04	12	1
20				.46	.56	.65	.74	.84	.93	.990	1.04	12	10
21					.61	.72	.82	.92	1.03	.992	1.03	12	6
22					.68	.79	.90	1.01	1.12	.993	1.03	11	2
23					.74	.86	.98	1.10	1.22	.993	1.02	11	
24					.81	.94	1.07	1.20	1.33	.994	1.02	11	
Basis		12	21	74	38	77	45	23	4				294

¹ Converted from a cubic foot volume table by number of cubic feet per standard cord for each d.b.h. class. Basic trees: Virginia, North Carolina, 193; New Jersey, 79; Florida, 22. Block indicates extent of basic data.

² Volume includes wood and bark; top diameter inside bark, 4 inches; stump height, 1 foot. A standard cord contains 128 cubic feet of stacked wood or the equivalent of a rick 4 feet by 4 feet by 8 feet.

³ Volume includes stump, stem, top, and bark.

TABLE 45.—*Number of cubic feet per standard stacked cord of unpeeled southern white cedar cordwood bolts*¹

Diameter breast high (inches)	Volume (wood and bark)	Volume (wood only)	Diameter breast high (inches)	Volume (wood and bark)	Volume (wood only)	Diameter breast high (inches)	Volume (wood and bark)	Volume (wood only)
	<i>Cubic feet</i>	<i>Cubic feet</i>		<i>Cubic feet</i>	<i>Cubic feet</i>		<i>Cubic feet</i>	<i>Cubic feet</i>
1.....	50	31	9.....	93	81	17.....	101	88
2.....	54	36	10.....	95	83	18.....	101	89
3.....	65	47	11.....	96	84	19.....	102	89
4.....	74	58	12.....	98	85	20.....	102	89
5.....	80	65	13.....	99	86	21.....	102	89
6.....	85	71	14.....	100	87	22.....	102	89
7.....	88	75	15.....	100	88	23.....	102	89
8.....	91	79	16.....	101	88	24.....	102	89

¹ A standard cord contains 128 cubic feet of stacked wood, or the equivalent of a rick 4 by 4 by 8 feet. Weighted average length of bolt, 6.6 feet; diameter outside bark at one-half height above breast height taken as the diameter of the average bolt in tree. Based on measurement of 43.2 stacked cords by C. F. Korstian and Alfred Akerman in Pasquotank County, N. C., and C. F. Korstian and A. D. LaMonte in Atlantic County, N. J.

TABLE 46.—*Number of southern white cedar trees per standard cord, including entire stem with bark*

Diameter breast high (inches)	Total height—feet										Basis (trees)
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
	Number of trees per standard cord ¹										
1.....	1,000	500									21
2.....	360	186	123								25
3.....	224	110	74	54							20
4.....		74	49	37	30						36
5.....		53	35	27	21						25
6.....		39.7	26.6	19.8	15.7						30
7.....			20.5	15.4	12.4	10.2	8.8				24
8.....			16.5	12.5	9.9	8.3	7.1				30
9.....			13.7	10.2	8.2	6.8	5.8	5.1			24
10.....			11.4	8.6	6.8	5.7	4.9	4.3			26
11.....				7.3	5.8	4.8	4.2	3.6			28
12.....				6.3	5.1	4.2	3.6	3.2	2.8	2.5	26
13.....				5.5	4.4	3.7	3.2	2.8	2.5	2.2	21
14.....				4.9	3.9	3.2	2.8	2.4	2.2	1.9	11
15.....					3.4	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.7	9
16.....					3.1	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.5	13
17.....					2.8	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.4	5
18.....					2.5	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	6
19.....					2.3	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.1	9
20.....					2.1	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0	8
21.....						1.6	1.3	1.2	1.0	.94	3
22.....						1.4	1.2	1.1	.95	.86	3
23.....						1.3	1.1	.99	.88	.79	
24.....						1.2	1.04	.91	.81	.73	2
Trees.....	20	33	42	44	77	38	77	45	25	4	405

¹ A standard cord contains 128 cubic feet of stacked wood, or the equivalent of a rick 4 by 4 by 8 feet. Block indicates extent of basic observations. Compiled from Table 49, by a variable converting factor. Basic trees: North Carolina, Virginia, 248; New Jersey, 135; Florida, 22.

TABLE 47.—*Volume of bark in proportion to total cubic volume of entire stem with bark*¹

Diameter breast high (inches)	Bark volume percentage of total volume	Diameter breast high (inches)	Bark volume percentage of total volume	Diameter breast high (inches)	Bark volume percentage of total volume	Diameter breast high (inches)	Bark volume percentage of total volume
	<i>Per cent</i>		<i>Per cent</i>		<i>Per cent</i>		<i>Per cent</i>
1.....	35.0	7.....	17.4	13.....	14.0	19.....	12.0
2.....	27.3	8.....	16.7	14.....	13.7	20.....	11.7
3.....	23.7	9.....	16.1	15.....	13.3	21.....	11.5
4.....	21.2	10.....	15.5	16.....	12.9	22.....	11.3
5.....	19.5	11.....	15.0	17.....	12.6	23.....	11.2
6.....	18.4	12.....	14.5	18.....	12.3	24.....	11.1

¹ Basic trees; North Carolina, Virginia, 248; New Jersey, 135; Florida, 22.TAPER AND FORM TABLES ³

The taper or form of second-growth southern white cedar trees of different diameters and heights is shown in Table 48. This table gives for each 10-foot height and each 1-inch d. b. h. (measured outside bark), the diameter inside bark at 1-foot intervals from the ground up to 3 feet, at 4.5 feet (breast height), and at 10-foot intervals above the ground. The variation in the taper of individual trees is great. It is therefore unsafe to assume, for example, that, because a tree 12 inches d. b. h. will on the average yield a pole of specified length and upper diameter, a fully stocked stand containing twenty 12-inch trees will actually yield 20 such poles having the same specifications. When the number of specified size classes is small and the prices offered vary widely, the use of taper tables in conjunction with stand tables in estimating linear products is subject to serious error, which may in some cases amount to 50 per cent, approximately 50 per cent of the trees being above the average and 50 per cent below (8). When there is a large range of sizes and a tree which fails to make a pole of one class may fall in the next smaller class, the tables can be used with less error.

The form factors and form quotients given in Table 49 will also be useful in determining the form and contents of southern white cedar trees. The same is also true of the bark widths for different diameters given in Table 50.

TABLE 48.—*Diameters inside bark at intervals above the ground for southern white cedar trees of different diameters and heights*^a

30-FOOT TREES

Diameter breast high (inches)	Height above ground—feet												
	1	2	3	4.5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
	Diameter inside bark—inches												
2	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.0							
3	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.5	1.3							
4	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.2	1.7							
5	5.1	5.0	4.8	4.6	3.9	2.0							
6	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	4.6	2.3							
7	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.5	5.4	2.7							
8	8.4	8.0	7.7	7.4	6.1	3.0							
9	9.6	9.2	8.8	8.4	6.9	3.3							

^a Constructed by the multiple-correlation method. Aggregate deviation of basic data from a total cubic volume table constructed from these tables, 0.012 per cent. Basic trees: North Carolina, Virginia, 248; New Jersey, 135; Florida, 22.³ These tables were prepared by R. M. Brown and L. H. Reineke from field data collected by C. F. Korstian in cooperation with the State foresters of North Carolina, Virginia, and New Jersey.

TABLE 48.—*Diameters inside bark at intervals above the ground for southern white cedar trees of different diameters and heights—Continued*

40-FOOT TREES													
Diameter breast high (inches)	Height above ground—feet												
	1	2	3	4.5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
	Diameter inside bark—inches												
3	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.2	1.5						
4	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.4	2.7	1.7						
5	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.1	3.3	2.0						
6	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	4.9	3.8	2.2						
7	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.5	5.7	4.3	2.4						
8	8.4	8.1	7.8	7.4	6.5	4.9	2.7						
9	9.6	9.2	8.9	8.4	7.2	5.5	2.9						
10	10.6	10.2	9.8	9.3	8.0	6.0	3.1						
11	11.7	11.1	10.7	10.2	8.8	6.6	3.4						
12	12.8	12.3	11.9	11.2	9.5	7.0	3.6						
13	13.9	13.3	12.8	12.1	10.4	7.6	3.8						
14	15.0	14.3	13.8	13.0	11.2	8.1	4.0						
50-FOOT TREES													
4	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.1	2.7	1.8					
5	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.2	3.7	3.1	1.9					
6	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.1	4.4	3.5	2.1					
7	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.5	5.8	5.1	3.9	2.2					
8	8.4	8.0	7.7	7.4	6.7	5.7	4.4	2.4					
9	9.6	9.1	8.8	8.4	7.5	6.3	4.8	2.6					
10	10.6	10.2	9.7	9.3	8.3	7.0	5.2	2.8					
11	11.7	11.1	10.7	10.2	9.1	7.5	5.5	2.9					
12	12.8	12.2	11.8	11.2	9.9	8.2	5.9	3.1					
13	13.9	13.3	12.8	12.1	10.6	8.8	6.4	3.3					
14	15.0	14.4	13.8	13.0	11.5	9.5	6.8	3.4					
15	16.2	15.3	14.7	14.0	12.3	10.1	7.2	3.6					
16	17.3	16.5	15.8	14.9	13.1	10.8	7.6	3.8					
17	18.5	17.4	16.7	15.8	13.9	11.5	8.0	3.9					
18	19.8	18.6	17.8	16.8	14.6	12.1	8.4	4.1					
60-FOOT TREES													
5	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.2	2.0				
6	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.2	4.8	4.2	3.5	2.1				
7	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.5	6.0	5.5	4.8	3.8	2.3				
8	8.4	8.0	7.8	7.4	6.9	6.2	5.2	4.1	2.4				
9	9.6	9.2	8.8	8.4	7.6	6.9	5.8	4.5	2.5				
10	10.6	10.1	9.8	9.3	8.4	7.5	6.3	4.8	2.6				
11	11.7	11.1	10.7	10.2	9.2	8.2	6.8	5.1	2.8				
12	12.8	12.2	11.7	11.2	10.1	8.9	7.4	5.4	2.9				
13	13.9	13.2	12.7	12.1	10.9	9.6	7.9	5.7	3.0				
14	15.0	14.3	13.7	13.0	11.7	10.3	8.4	6.0	3.2				
15	16.2	15.4	14.8	14.0	12.4	11.0	8.9	6.3	3.3				
16	17.3	16.4	15.7	14.9	13.3	11.7	9.4	6.7	3.4				
17	18.5	17.5	16.7	15.8	14.1	12.4	9.9	7.0	3.5				
18	19.8	18.7	17.8	16.8	14.9	13.1	10.4	7.3	3.7				
19	21.0	19.6	18.7	17.7	15.8	13.8	11.0	7.6	3.8				
20	22.2	20.7	19.7	18.6	16.6	14.5	11.4	7.9	3.9				
21	23.4	21.9	20.8	19.6	17.4	15.2	12.0	8.2	4.1				
22	24.7	23.0	21.7	20.5	18.2	15.9	12.5	8.5	4.2				
70-FOOT TREES													
7	7.3	6.9	6.7	6.5	6.0	5.7	5.3	4.7	3.9	2.3			
8	8.4	7.9	7.6	7.4	6.9	6.4	5.8	5.1	4.1	2.4			
9	9.6	9.2	8.8	8.4	7.7	7.1	6.5	5.6	4.3	2.5			
10	10.6	10.1	9.7	9.3	8.6	7.9	7.0	6.0	4.6	2.6			
11	11.7	11.2	10.7	10.2	9.4	8.6	7.6	6.4	4.9	2.7			
12	12.8	12.2	11.8	11.2	10.2	9.3	8.2	6.8	5.2	2.8			
13	13.9	13.2	12.7	12.1	11.1	10.1	8.8	7.2	5.4	2.9			
14	15.0	14.1	13.6	13.0	11.9	10.8	9.4	7.7	5.7	3.0			
15	16.2	15.4	14.7	14.0	12.7	11.5	10.0	8.1	5.9	3.1			
16	17.3	16.3	15.6	14.9	13.6	12.3	10.5	8.5	6.2	3.2			
17	18.5	17.3	16.6	15.8	14.4	13.0	11.1	8.9	6.4	3.3			
18	19.8	18.4	17.6	16.8	15.3	13.7	11.7	9.4	6.7	3.4			
19	21.0	19.6	18.6	17.7	16.1	14.4	12.4	9.8	6.9	3.5			
20	22.2	20.4	19.5	18.6	16.9	15.2	12.9	10.2	7.2	3.6			
21	23.4	21.7	20.6	19.6	17.7	15.9	13.5	10.6	7.4	3.7			
22	24.7	22.6	21.6	20.5	18.6	16.6	14.1	11.1	7.7	3.9			

TABLE 48.—*Diameters inside bark at intervals above the ground for southern white cedar trees of different diameters and heights—Continued*

80-FOOT TREES

Diameter breast high (inches)	Height above ground—feet												
	1	2	3	4.5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
	Diameter inside bark—inches												
9.-----	9.6	9.1	8.8	8.4	7.9	7.4	6.9	6.3	5.5	4.3	2.5	-----	-----
10.-----	10.6	10.1	9.7	9.3	8.7	8.2	7.5	6.8	5.9	4.5	2.6	-----	-----
11.-----	11.7	11.1	10.6	10.2	9.6	8.9	8.2	7.3	6.2	4.8	2.7	-----	-----
12.-----	12.8	12.2	11.7	11.2	10.4	9.7	8.8	7.8	6.6	5.0	2.7	-----	-----
13.-----	13.9	13.1	12.6	12.1	11.3	10.4	9.4	8.3	6.9	5.2	2.8	-----	-----
14.-----	15.0	14.1	13.5	13.0	12.1	11.2	10.1	8.8	7.3	5.4	2.9	-----	-----
15.-----	16.2	15.2	14.6	14.0	12.9	11.9	10.7	9.3	7.6	5.6	3.0	-----	-----
16.-----	17.3	16.2	15.5	14.9	13.9	12.7	11.3	9.8	8.0	5.8	3.1	-----	-----
17.-----	18.5	17.2	16.5	15.8	14.6	13.5	12.0	10.3	8.4	6.0	3.2	-----	-----
18.-----	19.8	18.4	17.6	16.8	15.5	14.2	12.6	10.8	8.7	6.2	3.3	-----	-----
19.-----	21.0	19.4	18.5	17.7	16.4	14.9	13.2	11.3	9.1	6.5	3.3	-----	-----
20.-----	22.2	20.3	19.4	18.6	17.2	15.7	13.9	11.8	9.4	6.7	3.4	-----	-----
21.-----	23.4	21.5	20.5	19.6	18.0	16.4	14.5	12.3	9.8	6.9	3.5	-----	-----
22.-----	24.7	22.6	21.5	20.5	18.9	17.2	15.2	12.8	10.2	7.1	3.6	-----	-----
23.-----	26.0	23.6	22.6	21.5	19.8	17.9	15.8	13.4	10.5	7.3	3.7	-----	-----
24.-----	27.3	24.5	23.4	22.4	20.6	18.6	16.4	13.9	10.9	7.5	3.8	-----	-----

90-FOOT TREES

12.-----	12.8	12.2	11.7	11.2	10.5	9.8	9.2	8.5	7.7	6.5	4.9	2.8	-----
13.-----	13.9	13.1	12.6	12.1	11.5	10.7	9.9	9.1	8.1	6.8	5.1	2.9	-----
14.-----	15.0	14.1	13.6	13.0	12.2	11.4	10.5	9.6	8.5	7.1	5.2	2.9	-----
15.-----	16.2	15.1	14.6	14.0	13.1	12.2	11.2	10.2	9.0	7.4	5.4	3.0	-----
16.-----	17.3	16.1	15.5	14.9	14.0	12.9	11.9	10.7	9.4	7.7	5.6	3.1	-----
17.-----	18.5	17.1	16.4	15.8	14.8	13.7	12.6	11.3	9.8	8.0	5.8	3.2	-----
18.-----	19.8	18.2	17.5	16.8	15.7	14.5	13.2	11.9	10.3	8.3	5.9	3.3	-----
19.-----	21.0	19.1	18.4	17.7	16.6	15.3	13.9	12.4	10.7	8.6	6.1	3.3	-----
20.-----	22.2	20.2	19.4	18.6	17.4	16.0	14.5	13.0	11.2	8.9	6.3	3.4	-----
21.-----	23.4	21.3	20.4	19.6	18.2	16.8	15.3	13.6	11.6	9.2	6.5	3.5	-----
22.-----	24.7	22.3	21.4	20.5	19.1	17.6	16.0	14.1	12.0	9.5	6.7	3.5	-----
23.-----	26.0	23.4	22.4	21.5	20.0	18.4	16.6	14.7	12.5	9.9	6.8	3.6	-----
24.-----	27.3	24.4	23.3	22.4	20.8	19.1	17.3	15.2	12.9	10.2	7.0	3.7	-----

100-FOOT TREES

12.-----	12.8	12.0	11.5	11.2	10.7	10.1	9.5	9.0	8.3	7.6	6.4	4.8	2.7
13.-----	13.9	13.0	12.5	12.1	11.5	10.9	10.3	9.5	8.8	8.0	6.7	4.9	2.7
14.-----	15.0	14.0	13.5	13.0	12.4	11.6	11.0	10.2	9.3	8.4	7.0	5.1	2.8
15.-----	16.2	15.1	14.5	14.0	13.3	12.4	11.7	10.8	9.8	8.8	7.3	5.2	2.8
16.-----	17.3	16.1	15.3	14.9	14.2	13.2	12.3	11.4	10.3	9.1	7.5	5.4	2.9
17.-----	18.5	17.0	16.3	15.8	15.0	14.0	13.1	12.0	10.8	9.5	7.7	5.5	2.9
18.-----	19.8	18.2	17.4	16.8	15.9	14.8	13.8	12.6	11.3	9.9	8.0	5.7	3.0
19.-----	21.1	19.3	18.4	17.7	16.7	15.5	14.5	13.2	11.8	10.3	8.2	5.9	3.1
20.-----	22.2	20.3	19.4	18.6	17.6	16.3	15.2	13.9	12.3	10.6	8.5	6.0	3.1
21.-----	23.4	21.4	20.5	19.6	18.5	17.1	15.9	14.4	12.8	11.0	8.8	6.2	3.2
22.-----	24.7	22.5	21.4	20.5	19.4	17.9	16.6	15.0	13.3	11.4	9.1	6.4	3.3
23.-----	26.0	23.8	22.4	21.5	20.2	18.7	17.3	15.6	13.8	11.8	9.3	6.5	3.4
24.-----	27.3	24.6	23.2	22.4	21.1	19.4	18.0	16.2	14.3	12.1	9.6	6.6	3.5

TABLE 49.—*Form factors and form quotients for southern white cedar in Virginia, North Carolina, New Jersey, and Florida*¹

Diameter breast high (inches)	Form factor ²		Form quo- tient ³ Inside bark	Basis (trees)	Diameter breast high (inches)	Form factor ²		Form quo- tient ³ Inside bark	Basis (trees)
	Inside bark	Outside bark				Inside bark	Outside bark		
1.....	1.150	1.669	0.544	21	14.....	0.823	0.960	0.640	11
2.....	.970	1.335	.590	25	15.....	.819	.948	.638	9
3.....	.914	1.195	.629	20	16.....	.815	.938	.636	13
4.....	.897	1.148	.663	36	17.....	.810	.925	.634	5
5.....	.890	1.118	.682	25	18.....	.808	.916	.631	6
6.....	.881	1.092	.690	30	19.....	.803	.910	.627	9
7.....	.872	1.070	.692	24	20.....	.801	.907	.624	8
8.....	.865	1.050	.688	30	21.....	.799	.901	.620	3
9.....	.858	1.032	.669	24	22.....	.797	.898	.617	3
10.....	.850	1.018	.656	26	23.....	.793	.893	.613	-----
11.....	.842	1.002	.648	28	24.....	.790	.890	.609	2
12.....	.837	.988	.643	26	Total.....				
13.....	.830	.973	.641	21					

¹ The form factors and form quotients are curved.² Average volume of tree with and without bark divided by the volume of a paraboloid of the same breast-high diameter outside bark and same total height.³ Ratio of diameter inside bark at one-half the height above breast height to the breast-high diameter inside bark.TABLE 50.—*Bark thickness in southern white cedar*¹

Diameter, outside bark (inches)	Single thickness of bark	Diameter, outside bark (inches)	Single thickness of bark	Diameter, outside bark (inches)	Single thickness of bark	Diameter, outside bark (inches)	Single thickness of bark
	<i>Inches</i>		<i>Inches</i>		<i>Inches</i>		<i>Inches</i>
1.....	0.11	9.....	0.37	17.....	0.58	25.....	0.80
2.....	.15	10.....	.40	18.....	.61	26.....	.83
3.....	.19	11.....	.42	19.....	.64	27.....	.85
4.....	.22	12.....	.45	20.....	.66	28.....	.88
5.....	.25	13.....	.47	21.....	.69	29.....	.91
6.....	.28	14.....	.50	22.....	.72	30.....	.94
7.....	.31	15.....	.53	23.....	.75		
8.....	.34	16.....	.55	24.....	.77		

¹ This table shows the thickness of bark, on radial sections of various diameters, for southern white cedar throughout its range. Based on 3,426 measurements on 469 trees in southeastern New Jersey, southeastern Virginia, eastern North Carolina, Darlington County, S. C., Calhoun County, Fla., Escambia County, Ala., and Pearl River County, Miss.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) BAKER, W. M.
1925. FORESTRY FOR PROFIT. N. J. Dept. Conserv. and Devlpmt.,
Div. Forestry and Parks, Ed. 2, 88 p., illus. Trenton.
- (2) COMMITTEE ON STANDARDIZATION OF VOLUME AND YIELD TABLES.
1926. METHODS OF PREPARING VOLUME AND YIELD TABLES. Jour. For-
estry 24: 653-666.
- (3) HUNT, G. M.
1928. THE PRESERVATIVE TREATMENT OF FARM TIMBERS. U. S. Dept.
Agr. Farmers' Bul. 744, 34 p., illus. (Revised edition.)
- (4) KORSTIAN, C. F.
1924. NATURAL REGENERATION OF SOUTHERN WHITE CEDAR. Ecology 5:
188-191, illus.
- (5) MATTOON, W. R.
1922. SLASH PINE. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 1256, 41 p., illus.
- (6) NEWLIN, J. A., and WILSON, T. R. C.
1917. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF WOODS GROWN IN THE UNITED STATES.
U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 556, 47 p., illus.
- (7) PINCHOT, G.
1899. SILVICULTURAL NOTES ON THE WHITE CEDAR. N. J. State Geol.
Ann. Rpt. 1898, Appendix pp. 57-61, illus. [Separately paged.]
- (8) REINEKE, L. H.
1925. A TEST OF TAPER TABLES. Jour. Forestry 23: 945-947.
- (9) ———.
1927. A MODIFICATION OF BRUCE'S METHOD OF PREPARING TIMBER-YIELD
TABLES. Jour. Agr. Research 35: 843-856, illus.
- (10) VERMEULE, C. C.
1900. THE FORESTS OF NEW JERSEY. N. J. State Geol. Ann. Rpt. 1899:
13-172, illus.
- (11) ZON, R., and GRAVES, H. S.
1911. LIGHT IN RELATION TO TREE GROWTH. U. S. Dept. Agr., Forest
Serv. Bul. 92, 59 p., illus.

ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE WHEN THIS PUBLICATION WAS LAST PRINTED

<i>Secretary of Agriculture</i>	ARTHUR M. HYDE.
<i>Assistant Secretary</i>	R. W. DUNLAP.
<i>Director of Scientific Work</i>	A. F. WOODS.
<i>Director of Regulatory Work</i>	W. G. CAMPBELL.
<i>Director of Extension Work</i>	C. W. WARBURTON.
<i>Director of Personnel and Business Administration</i>	W. W. STOCKBERGER.
<i>Director of Information</i>	M. S. EISENHOWER.
<i>Solicitor</i>	E. L. MARSHALL.
<i>Weather Bureau</i>	CHARLES F. MARVIN, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Animal Industry</i>	JOHN R. MOHLER, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Dairy Industry</i>	O. E. REED, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Plant Industry</i>	WILLIAM A. TAYLOR, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Forest Service</i>	R. Y. STUART, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Chemistry and Soils</i>	H. G. KNIGHT, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Entomology</i>	C. L. MARLATT, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Biological Survey</i>	PAUL G. REDINGTON, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Public Roads</i>	THOMAS H. MACDONALD, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Agricultural Engineering</i>	S. H. MCCRORY, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Agricultural Economics</i>	NILS A. OLSEN, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Bureau of Home Economics</i>	LOUISE STANLEY, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Plant Quarantine and Control Administration</i>	LEE A. STRONG, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Grain Futures Administration</i>	J. W. T. DUVEL, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Food and Drug Administration</i>	WALTER G. CAMPBELL, <i>Director of Regulatory Work, in Charge</i> .
<i>Office of Experiment Stations</i>	_____, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Office of Cooperative Extension Work</i>	C. B. SMITH, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Library</i>	CLARIBEL R. BARNETT, <i>Librarian</i> .

This bulletin is a contribution from—

<i>Forest Service</i>	R. Y. STUART, <i>Chief</i> .
<i>Branch of Research</i>	EARL H. CLAPP, <i>Assistant Forester, in Charge</i> .
<i>Appalachian Forest Experiment Station</i>	E. H. FROTHINGHAM, <i>Director</i> .
<i>Division of Forest Products</i>	H. S. BETTS, <i>in Charge</i> .

