

GAIN AND VARIATION After Two Generations Of Selection In Slash Pine In Georgia

By Earl R. Sluder



Author



Earl R. Sluder is a plant geneticists with the Regeneration and Breeding of Loblolly Pine in the Southeast Project of the Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service, at Macon, Georgia. He received his B.S., M.S. and Ph. D. degrees from North Carolina State University at Raleigh.

GAIN AND VARIATION After Two Generations Of Selection In Slash Pine In Georgia

ABSTRACT

Wind-pollinated slash pine progenies from (1) eight first-generation seed orchard clones, (2) bulked seed from their eight progenies in a 15year-old seedling seed orchard, and (3) 15 individual second-generation selections from the progenies in the seedling seed orchard were tested on an Upper Coastal Plain and a flatwoods site in Georgia. Contrasts between progeny groups and checklots at age 5 years show that each selection stage beyond the unrogued cloanal seed orchard produced gain in resistance to fusiform rust. Variation within groups of first- and secondgeneration progenies remained high enough to afford further progress from selection, particularly in fusiform-rust resistance.

by Earl R. Sluder

> Genetic improvements in agricultural crops and domestic animals are greatly increasing profitability in agriculture and animal husbandry. The southern pines are being planted and grown as a crop, which presents both the opportunity and the need to apply genetic principles to increase profitability in forestry.

In the early 1950's Georgia Forestry Commission foresters located several hundred well-formed, fastgrowing disease-free slash pines (*Pinus elliottii* Engelm. var. *elliottii*) as candidates for a tree improvement program. After further screening, 149 of these selected trees were propagated by grafting and used to establish two clonal seed orchards to supply seed needed for planting in Georgia.

Trees grown from these seeds are expected to be better in growth rate and other traits than trees from seeds collected in natural stands. But the only way to find out if they are better, and how much better, is to compare performance of their progeny with that of natural-stand check lots in properly designed studies. Questions about the potential for gain in succeeding generations of selection and breeding are: (1) How much genetic variation in economic traits is harbored in the selected genotypes? (2) How much gain can be made each generation? (3) Over how many generations of selection and breeding can successive gains be made?

As soon as ramets of the seed orchard clones began flowering, the Georgia Forestry Commission, in cooperation with the Southeastern Forest Experimetn Station, USDA Forest Service, began controlled pollinations to progeny test the clones. The first progeny tests, planted in 1961, included a plantation designed for conversion to a seedling-origin seed orchard. When the conversion was completed and the remaining seedling-origin seed orchard trees were flowering, a study was designed to quantify gains made in this second-generation orchard, to compare them with gains made in the first generation clonal orchard, and to compare performance of progenies from both orchards with that of natural-stand check lots.

Materials and Methods

The progenies included in the second-generation seedling seed orchard were from controlled pollinations of 17 of the clones in the first-generation clonal orchard. A mixture of pollen from 30 other clones in the orchard was used on these 17. The 17 "polymix" progenies produced were planted in a replicated design at the Georgia Forestry Commission's Arrowhead Seed Orchard in Pulaski County. Some 2,635 seedlings were planted. Roguing in 1967, 1969, 1971, and 1974 reduced the number to 141 trees, 5.35 percent of the original number. Trees removed were those (1) infected by the fusiform-rust fungus (Cronartium quercuum (Berk.) Miyabe ex Shirai f. sp. fusiforme), (2) with obviously slow growth, or (3) with poor stem or branch characteristics. In the 1974 roquing, spacing and flower production also were considered. One progeny that consistently grew slowly and had high fusiform-rust susceptibility was eliminated in 1974.

All cones were collected from the remaining seedling seed orchard trees in 1975 (about 4,000 cones) and 1976 (about 17,000 cones). Each year, the seeds were kept separate by individual tree. A bulk lot representing the rogued seedling seed orchard was made in each of the two years by mixing seed from each tree in proportion to that tree's contribution to total orchard yield. These two bulk lots were overall orchard check lots. In addition, eight family bulk lots were made from the 1976 collection. The three kinds of seed lots available from the seedling seed orchard, therefore, were two overall bulk lots, eight family bulk lots, and individual-tree lots, all from wind-pollinated seeds.

The maternal parents of the eight seedling orchard families from which the bulk seed lots were made are still in the rogued first-generation clonal orchard. Windpollinated seeds were collected from these eight clones for use in the study. Both seed orchards are surrounded by a large area of loblolly pine-hardwood forest and farm land, so outside slash pine pollen is at a very low level.

Two other check lots were obtained from the Georgia Crop Improvement Association. One was commercial seed from Telfair, Treutlen and Emanuel Counties, and the other a bulk lot from the unrogued first-generation clonal orchard. Both were collected in 1965.

Four kinds of seed lots were included in the study:

(1) wind-pollinated seeds from eight of the clones remaining in the rogued first-generation orchard;

(2) family-bulk lots from second-generation polymix progenies of the eight clones in (1), which were in a rogued seedling seed orchard;

(3) individual-tree lots from 15 second-generation trees selected from 6 of the progenies in (2); and

(4) four check lots -- two bulk lots from the seedling seed orchard, one bulk lot from the unrogued clonal orchard, and a commercial collection. The total number of seedlots was 35 (Appendix table 1).

Seedlings for the study were grown in 1978 by the Georgia Forestry Commission in the Morgan Nursery near Byron, GA., and planted as 1-0 stock in the spring of 1979 on two test sites in Georgia. One was a flatwoods site in Ware County and the other an Upper Coastal Plain site in Houston County. At both locations each seed lot was replicated five times in 16-tree plots in a randomized complete block design. Spacing was 2.5m x 2.5m (8.2' x 8.2') in Houston County and 2.5m in bedded rows approximately 3.7m (12') apart in Ware County.

At ages 2, 3, and 5 years, survival, height, and fusiformrust infection were measured or assessed at both test sites. Only the fifth-year results are reported here, but the fifth-year rust data reflect all mortality from rust through age 5. Rust infection was expressed as the number of cankers per seedling and as the percentage of seedlings free of rust at age 5. Prior to analysis of variance, percentages were transformed to arcsins of their square roots. Means were separated with Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan 1955). Data were analyzed for each of the two planting sites and for the two sites combined.

The various check lots and family and progeny groups in this study represent stages of selection ranging from unselected natural stands to progenies from secondgeneration selections. Six contrasts between means of these groups were contstructed and tested for significance. Also, the relative variation remaining among families within groups (1), (2), and (3) above was determined.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trait means for the groups representing the various stages of selection, along with six contrasts and their significance tests, are shown in Table 1. The numbers assigned to the seedlots in Table 1 represent the various stages of selection and breeding, and the contrasts were designed to show gains made in individual stages. Only one contrast was significant on the flatwoods site but five were for the fusiform-rust and one for the height traits on

the Upper Coastal Plain site. All the significant contrasts selection stage produced an increment of gain rather than involved changes in the desired direction; that is, that loss. For cankers per tree, negative contrasts reflect the desired change, whereas positive contrasts are desired for the other traits.

For the fusiform-rust traits, the only contrast showing an undesirable response to selection was that between the unrogued clonal orchard check and the commercial check (2 vs 1, Table 1). The unrogued orchard check was more susceptible than the commercial check on both sites, though not significantly so. Apparently, selecting rust-free trees in natural stands did little to increase the proportion of rust resistance genes in the unrogued clonal orchard relative to that in natural stands. Later roguing and selection based on progeny test results have been effective in increasing rust resistance. Along with the increase in rust resistance has come little change in survival and only moderate improvement in height growth rate. However, selection after the initial stage has been primarily for rust resistance, so this result is not surprising. Selection for survival *per se* was not performed so the only survival response to be expected would be one that is correlated with a trait that was selected for, such as rust resistance. Such a correlated response in survival may appear as the trees get older and more rust mortality occurs.

Contrasts between groups 1 (commercial check) and 6 (progenies from second generation selections) (Table 1) give estimates of total gains after two generations of selection. On the Upper Coastal Plain site, gain in the rust-free trait was 15.7 percentage points, or 53.6 percent of the check. The decrease in number of cankers per tree was 13.7 percent of the check. Also realized was an 11.1

Table 1.--Group means and contrasts between groups for fusiform-rust, height, and survival at age 5 years of slash pine tested on two sites.

		Trait							
Gro	oup and Contrast	Rust-free	Cankers/tree	Height	Survival				
	. Upp	er Coastal P	<u>lain Site</u>						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Commercial check Clonal orchard check First-generation families Seedling S.O. bulk (1976) Second-generation bulk fami Second-generation selection	29.3 20.4 32.8 59.2 lies 42.6 s 45.0	4.38 5.03 4.47 2.56 3.22 3.78	3.23 3.60 3.55 3.76 3.56 3.59	80.0 82.5 78.0 88.4 77.9 80.5				
	2 vs 1 3 vs 2 4 vs 3 5 vs 3 5+6 mean vs 3 6 vs 5	- 8.9 12.4 26.4 9.8** 11.0* 2.4	0.65 -0.56 -1.91* -1.25* -0.97** 0.56	0.37 -0.05 0.21** 0.01 0.02 0.03	2.5 -4.5 10.4 -0.1 1.2 2.6				
		Flatwoods S	Site						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Commerical check Clonal orchard check First-generation families Seedling S.O. bulk (1976) Second-generation bulk famil Second-generation selections	94.4 86.0 90.0 100.0 lies 91.8 s 92.5	0.10 .66 .12 .00 .10 .10	2.34 2.13 2.41 2.16 2.41 2.39	62.5 46.2 55.4 46.2 53.7 58.9				
	2 vs 1 3 vs 2 4 vs 3 5 vs 3 5+6 mean vs 3 6 vs 5	-8.4 4.0 10.0 1.8 2.1 0.7	0.56 -0.54* -0.12 -0.02 -0.02 0.00	-0.21 0.28 -0.25 0.00 -0.01 -0.02	-16.3 9.2 -9.2 -1.7 0.9 5.2				

** Significant at the 0.01 level.

* Significant at the 0.05 level.

percent gain in height. In the plantation on the flatwoods site, the only gain was 2.1 percent of the check in height.

Considerable variation in rust resistance still exists among first- and second generation families and among second-generation selections (table 2). Further gains should be possible with further selection and testing, especially in the plantation on the Upper Coastal Plain site where rust incidence has been quite high. Since very low rates of infection have occurred on the flatwoods site (Appendix tables 2-5), little opportunity for selection for rust resistance is expected in that plantation.

Table 2.--The standard deviation among families within groups expressed as a percentage of the mean of the group for four traits at age 5 years.

		Plantation site				
Family	Trait	Upper Coastal Plain	Flatwoods			
		percent				
Families of first- generation clones	Rust-free Cankers/tree Height Survival	36.8 41.0 9.0 7.8	77.8 7.3 12.2 32.4			
Second-generation bulk families	Rust-free Cankers/tree Height Survival	23.0 33.0 4.8 6.7	166.7 13.8 27.1 35.8			
Families from second- generation selections	Rust-free Cankers/tree Height Survival	52.2 60.2 7.8 9.1	121.9 9.2 24.7 52.5			

LITERATURE CITED

Duncan, D B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple *F* tests. Biometrics 11:1-42.

Appendix table 1.--Description of progenies and check lots of slash pine.

Progeny : or Check :	Description
60 ^{1/} XW	60 x wind (5-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(60XP)XW,B	(60 x polymix) x wind (15-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
(60XP)XW,S	(60 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(60XP)XW,S	(60 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(60XP)XW,S	(60 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(60XP)XW,S	(60 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
119XW (119XP)XW,B (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S	<pre>119 x wind (5-ramet mix) - First-generation family (119 x polymix) x wind (5-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk (119 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection (119 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection (119 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection</pre>
94xW	94 x wind (2-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(94XP)XW,B	(94 x polymix) x wind (6-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
(94XP)XW,S	(94 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(94XP)XW,S	(94 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(94XP)XW,S	(94 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
50XW	50 x wind (4-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(50XP)XW,B	(50 x polymix) x wind (6-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
(50XP)XW,S	(50 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(50XP)XW,S	(50 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
20XW	20 x wind (5-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(20XP)XW,B	(20 x polymix) x wind (3-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
(20XP)XW,S	(20 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
(20XP)XW,S	(20 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
71XW	71 x wind (3-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(71XP)XW,B	(71 x polymix) x wind (3-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
(71XP)XW,S	(71 x polymix) x wind - Second-generation selection
бхW	6 x wind (5-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(бХР)XW,В	(6 x polymix) x wind (4-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
76XW	76 x wind (4-ramet mix) - First-generation family
(76XP)XW,B	(76 x polymix) x wind (4-tree mix) - Second-generation bulk
Check 1	Seedling seed orchard bulk - 1975
Check 2	Seedling seed orchard bulk - 1976
Check 3	Commercial seed collection (Georgia Crop Improvement Assoc.)
Check 4	Seed orchard collection (Georgia Crop Improvement Assoc.)

1/

Georgia Forestry Commission serial numbers for the first-generation selections.

Appendix	table	2Sign:	ificance	tests	fo	r the	various	s sourc	es of	vari	iation	in
		four	traits	at age	5	years	in two	slash	pine	test	planti	ings
		anal	zed sep	aratel	у а	ind con	bined.					

		Trait		
Source of variation	Rust-free	Cankers/tree	Height	Survival
Upper	Coastal Pla	in Site		
Replication		**	**	**
Seed lot (all)	**	**		
First-generation families		*		
Second-generation families				
Second-generation selections	* *	**		
	Flatwoods Si	te		
	14000000 01			
Replication	**	**		* *
Seed lot (all)			*	*
First-generation families	*	*		
Second-generation families			*	×.
Second-generation selections			*	-
Tw	o sites comb	ined		
	**	**	**	**
Site Deplication in site	**	**	**	**
Replication in site	**	**	*	**
First-generation families	**	*		*
Second-generation families	* *			
Second-generation selections	** ~	* *	*	*
Site x seed lot		**		

** Significant at the 0.01 level * Significant at the 0.05 level

Appendix	table	3Means	for	rust,	heigh	t and	surviv	val tra	its a	t age 🦻	5 years
		for wi	nd-I	pollina	ated f	amilie	es from	1 first	genei	ration	orchard
		clones	s of	slash	pine	tested	l on tw	o site	s ¹ /		

		Trait									
Family	Rust-free	Cankers/tree	Height	Survival							
	Percent	Number	m	Percent							
	Uppe	er Coastal Plain Site	2								
60XW 6XW 50XW 119XW 76XW 94XW 71XW 20XW	54.2a 41.2ab 39.7ab 33.8ab 27.3ab 26.4ab 25.8ab 14.2b	2.00a 5.50ab 4.44ab 5.58ab 4.22ab 3.18ab 3.80ab 7.02b	3.91a 3.62ab 3.45b 3.46b 3.51b 3.38b 3.53b 3.53b 3.54b	78.8a 75.0a 79.9a 75.0a 81.1a 80.0a 78.1a 76.0a							
Mean	32.8	4.47	3.55	78.0							
		Flatwoods Site									
60XW 76XW 94XW	98.6a 92.7a 92.3a	0.02a .07a .09a	2.46a 2.45a 2.30a	56.2a 63.5a							
20XW 6XW 50XW 119XW 71XW	89.6a 89.3a 88.1a 88.0a 84.3a	.16a .14a .18a .14a .16a	2.54a 2.26a 2.26a 2.62a 2.37a	44.4a 56.2a 34.6a 61.2a 56.2a							
Mean	90.3	0.12	2.41	55.4							

1/

Means followed by a common letter do not differ significantly at the 0.05 level, according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

Family	Rust-free	Cankers/tree	Height	Survival
	Percent	Number	m	Percent
	Upp	er Coastal Plain Site	2	
(60XP)XW,B (71XP)XW,B (20XP)XW,B (6XP)XW,B (76XP)XW,B (119XP)XW,B (50XP)XW,B (94XP)XW,B	51.6a 50.4a 49.5a 46.4a 40.8a 36.2a 35.3a 30.4a	2.92a 2.74a 2.51a 2.11a 3.54a 3.10a 2.42a 6.44b	3.63a 3.58a 3.62a 3.63a 3.54a 3.61a 3.44a 3.40a	77.5a 81.2a 77.5a 78.7a 81.2a 82.5a 78.1a 66.2a
Mean	42.6	3.22	3.56	77.9
		Flatwoods Site		
(50XP)XW,B (71XP)XW,B (119XP)XW,B (60XP)XW,B (20XP)XW,B (6XP)XW,B (94XP)XW,B (76XP)XW,B	98.7a 95.6a 95.3a 95.0a 94.6a 93.5ab 85.1bc 76.9c	0.01a .04a .05a .05a .05a .08ab .21bc .29c	2.19b 2.35b 2.09b 2.35b 2.40b 3.01a 2.62ab 2.28b	38.5a 57.5a 42.5a 42.5a 48.8a 69.5a 68.8a 61.2a
Mean	91.8	.10	2.41	53.7

Appendix table 4.--Means for rust, height and survival traits at age 5 years for second-generation bulk families of slash pine tested on two sites ¹⁷.

1/

Means followed by a common letter do not differ at the 0.05 level, according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

F	2	٦.	Π	A		Π	F	9	٩	ĥ		7	F	Ь	2
	UN	IVE	·H2	i I Y	U UI	- (3	EL	ж	31 A	121	3H1	AHI	IE S	>	

Appendix table 5.--Means for rust, height and survival traits at age 5 years for progenies from the second-generation selections of slash pine tested on two sites

		Trait								
Family	Rust-free	Cankers/tree	Height	Survival						
	Percent	Number	m	Percent						
	U	pper Coastal Plain S	ite							
(60XP)XW,S (71XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (20XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (50XP)XW,S (94XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (94XP)XW,S (50XP)XW,S	74.8a 70.1ab 68.1a-c 64.6a-d 52.5a-e 48.5b-f 48.5c-g 44.2d-g 36.3e-g 31.3e-g 27.9e-g 26.5fg 25.0gh 17.5h	1.52ab 1.26ab 1.25a 1.29ab 4.69a-d 2.54a-c 2.36a-c 4.92a-d 3.75a-d 7.86d 6.16cd 4.99b-d 7.88d 2.97a-d 3.23a-d	3.55a 3.65a 3.51a 3.67a 3.73a 3.43a 3.30a 3.68a 3.76a 3.46a 3.69a 3.69a 3.69a 3.69a 3.66a	77.5a 96.5a 78.7a 82.5a 82.5a 75.4a 79.2a 86.2a 76.2a 75.0a 80.0a 81.4a 81.2a 74.9a 80.0a						
Mean	45.0	3.78	3.59	80.5						
		Flatwoods Site								
(60XP)XW,S (20XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (71XP)XW,S (50XP)XW,S (20XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (60XP)XW,S (50XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (119XP)XW,S (94XP)XW,S (94XP)XW,S	100.00a 98.2a 97.8a 96.4a 94.4a 94.1a 94.0a 93.3a 92.3a 91.5a 90.0a 88.8a 88.6a 85.6a 82.7a	0.00a .04a .04a .06a .10a .14a .13a .09a .09a .10a .16a .11a .14a .30a	3.06a 2.12bc 2.42bc 2.05c 2.26bc 2.28bc 2.15bc 2.63a-c 2.24bc 2.73ab 2.19bc 2.41bc 2.37bc 2.54ac 2.38bc	69.6a 37.5b 71.2a 58.6ab 39.6b 52.5ab 48.8ab 72.3a 56.2ab 56.2ab 52.3ab 58.8ab 61.5ab 40.0b						
Mean	92.5	.10	2.39	58.9						

1/

Means followed by a common letter do not differ at the 0.05 level, according to Duncan's Multiple range test.



John W. Mixon, Director

Approximate Cost Of Press Time and Paper Only. COST:\$664 QTY500